# In the Matter Of:

## UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1:16-CV-03088-ELR

# **DANTE MCKAY**



March 09, 2023

1 THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA 2 ATLANTA DIVISION 3 UNITED STATES OF 4 AMERICA, Plaintiff, 5 6 CASE NO. 1:16-CV-03088-ELR VS. 7 STATE OF GEORGIA, Defendant. 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DANTE MCKAY 15 ATLANTA, GEORGIA THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 2023 16 17 18 (Reported Remotely) 19 2.0 21 22 REPORTED BY: TANYA L. VERHOVEN-PAGE, 23 CCR-B-1790 24 FILE NO. J9414077 25



1	March 9, 2023
2	9:02 a.m.
3	
4	Videotaped deposition of
5	DANTE MCKAY, held Atlanta, Georgia
6	before Tanya L. Verhoven-Page,
7	Certified Court Reporter and Notary
8	Public of the State of Georgia.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



DANTE MCKAY

March 09, 2023

UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA 1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL 2 On behalf of the Plaintiff: 3 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 4 CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION 950 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, D.C. 20579 5 (858) 847-6700 6 BY: CLAIRE CHEVRIER, ESQ. e-mail: clairechevrier@usdoj.gov 7 FRANCES COHEN, ESQ. BY: e-mail: francescohen@usdoj.gov 8 BY: KELLY D. GARDNER, ESQ. e-mail: kellygardner@usdoj.gov (Via Zoom) 9 10 Sandra LeVert ALSO PRESENT: Laura Cassidy Tayloe 11 12 13 On behalf of the Defendant: ROBBINS ALLOY BELINFANTE LITTLEFIELD, LLC 14 500 14th Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30318 15 (404) 856-3255 16 MELANIE JOHNSON, ESQ. e-mail: mjohnson@robbinsfirm.com DANIELLE HERNANDEZ, ESQ. 17 BY: e-mail: dhernandez@robbinsfirm.com (Via Zoom) 18 19 ALSO PRESENT: Monica Patel 2.0 21 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Robert Pacheco 22 23



24

#### 

DANTE MCKAY
UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 09, 2023
4

1		INDEX	
2			
3	WI	TNESS: DANTE MCKAY	
4			
5	Examination		Page
6	BY MS. CHEVRIER	1	7
7			
8			
9		EXHIBITS:	
10	Plaintiff's (McKay)		
11	Exhibit	Description	Page
12	Exhibit 978	Website screen capture	
13	EXIIDIC 570	titled Apex 3.0 Frequently Asked	
14		Questions	55
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



### 

DANTE MCKAY
UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

March 09, 2023
5

1		EXHIBITS:	
2	Previously marked		
3	Plaintiff's Exhibit	Description	Page
4		-	J
5	Exhibit 870	E-mail: dated October 17th, 2019	80
6	Exhibit 965	Deposition Notice	12
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			



March 09, 2023

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA; THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 2023 2 9:02 A.M. 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 5 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on 7 the video record. Today's date is March 8 the 9th, 2023. The time is 9:02 a.m. 9 Eastern Standard Time -- I'm sorry --10 10:02 a.m. Eastern Standard Time. This begins the video conference 11 12 deposition of Dante McKay in the matter 13 of The United States of America versus 14 the State of Georgia. My name is Robert Pacheco. 15 T am 16 your remote videographer. Your court 17 reporter today is going to be Ms. Tanya 18 Page. Both are representing Esquire 19 Deposition Solutions. 20 Would counsel please introduce 21 yourselves and your affiliation and the 22 witness will be sworn in. MS. CHEVRIER: Claire Chevrier for 23 24 the United States. I'm virtually here 25 with my colleagues Kelly Gardner, Frances



1	Cohen, Laura Tayloe and Sandra LeVert.
2	MS. JOHNSON: Melanie Johnson for
3	the State of Georgia. I am virtually
4	joined by my cocounsel Danielle
5	Hernandez, and Monica Patel, who is the
6	corporate representative for DBHDD.
7	
8	Thereupon
9	DANTE MCKAY,
10	called as a witness, having been first duly sworn,
11	was examined and testified as follows:
12	
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
15	Q Good morning. For the record, I'd like
16	to reintroduce myself. My name is Claire Chevrier
17	and I'm a trial attorney in the Educational
18	Opportunities section of the Civil Rights Division of
19	the United States Department of Justice. I represent
20	the United States in this lawsuit and will be taking
21	your deposition today.
22	Can you please state and spell your name
23	for the record.
24	A My name is Dante McKay. D-A-N-T-E,
25	M-C-K-A-Y.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q	Thank you.	I'm sur	e your	attorney	has
explained mud	ch of this	to you,	but we	are basio	cally
going to have	e a convers	sation to	day and	d I'm goi:	ng to
ask you quest	cions and	it's your	job to	answer	these
questions as	honestly a	and compl	etely a	as you car	1,
okay?					

Α Okay.

You were sworn to tell the truth by the court reporter, and the oath you just took is the same oath you would take if you were testifying in a court of law and puts you under the same obligation to tell the truth that you'd be under in court.

Do you understand?

Α Yes.

My questions and your answers will be 0 recorded by the court reporter. Please understand that you will need to speak clearly and answer all of your questions orally so that the court reporter can capture your answers accurately. For example, she won't be able to record a nod or a head shake. Okay?

> Okay. Α

If at any time the computer freezes or Q there's a lag, please let us know if this is affecting your ability to hear or answer questions, okay?



1	A Okay.
2	Q The other thing that you and I will need
3	to avoid doing is talking over one another, which I
4	recognize is more difficult because we're
5	communicating virtually. I will do my best not to
6	interrupt you when you're answering and I will ask
7	that you do your best to let me finish my questions
8	before starting to answer, okay?
9	A Okay.
10	Q If at any point you do not understand a
11	question, you should feel free to stop me and say so.
12	I will then try to clarify the question. Okay?
13	A Okay.
14	Q Know that your attorney may occasionally
15	object to my questions. This is to put their
16	objections and the issue on the record. It does not
17	mean you shouldn't answer the question. Unless
18	counsel tells you not to answer, you should go ahead
19	and do so. Understand?
20	A Yes.
21	Q If you want to take a break for any
22	reason, that's totally fine. I just ask that if
23	there is a question pending or if you're in the

middle of an answer, that you finish answering before



taking a break, okay?

24

1	A Okay.
2	Q Sometimes it happens that you will give
3	an answer as completely as you can and then later on,
4	maybe five minutes or maybe an hour later, you'll
5	remember some additional information in response to
6	that earlier question. If that happens, please just
7	tell us that you would like to add something to what
8	you said earlier and you can do that, okay?
9	A Okay.
LO	Q How are you feeling today?
L1	A Feeling good.
L2	Q Excellent. Is there any reason why you
L3	would not be able to answer my questions fully and
L4	truthfully today?
L5	A No reasons.
L6	Q Excellent. So, for example, you are not
L7	taking any medication today that would inhibit your
L8	ability to answer my questions?
L9	A No.
20	Q Excellent. Do you have any questions for
21	me before we proceed?
22	A Not at this time.
23	Q Sounds good. There are a few acronyms
24	and definitions I'd like to go over to confirm that
25	we have at same understanding, okay?



1	A	Okay.
2	Q	When I refer to GaDOE, do you understand
3	that I mean	Georgia Department of Education?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	When I say GNETS or GNETS program, do you
6	understand d	that I mean the Georgia Network for
7	Educational	and therapeutic support?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	When I say regional GNETS program, do you
LO	understand t	that I mean one of the 24 regional GNETS
L1	programs ac	ross the state of Georgia?
L2	A	Yes.
L3	Q	When I say GNETS school-based location,
L4	do you unde:	rstand that I mean a GNETS location that
L5	is based in	a general education school?
L6	А	Yes.
L7	Q	When I say GNETS center or centers, do
L8	you understa	and that I mean a standalone GNETS
L9	location?	
20	А	I do now.
21	Q	Sounds good. When I say the State, do
22	you understa	and that I'm referring to the State of
23	Georgia?	
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And when I say CSB, do you understand



Can you confirm that on the top it says



Q

1	Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition?
2	A Yes, I see that.
3	Q Okay. And so you have not seen this
4	notice before; is that correct?
5	A Correct.
6	Q Is it your understanding that you are
7	present today to provide testimony in response to the
8	topics listed in attachment A, specifically Topics 18
9	and 19? And I can give you a moment to scroll to
10	that. It's at the end of the document.
11	A Okay. I've reviewed 18 and 19. Yes,
12	I that aligns to my understanding of my deposition
13	today.
14	Q Excellent. And what is the basis of your
15	knowledge for these topic areas?
16	A I direct the Office of Children, Young
17	Adults and Families within the Behavioral Health
18	Division at DBHDD, and Apex is a program that we
19	fund, evaluate and monitor.
20	Q And you've already answered this, but
21	again for the record, can you state specifically your
22	title at the State of Georgia?
23	A I direct the Office of Children, Young
24	Adults and Families.
25	O So is your position the director?



1	A Yes.
2	Q And what are your job responsibilities in
3	this role?
4	A To lead the office, to manage the staff
5	at the office, to be liaison between the office and
6	executive leadership and with community stakeholders,
7	in a nutshell. So plan, manage, monitor and fund
8	behavioral health-related programming.
9	Q How long have you held this role?
10	A Since February 16th, 2016.
11	Q And who do you report to?
12	A Currently, I report to Adrienne Johnson,
13	who is the interim director of the behavioral health
14	division.
15	Q And who did you report to previously?
16	A To Monica Johnson, who was director of
17	the behavioral health division.
18	Q And who reports to you currently?
19	A Are you asking for names or titles?
20	Q If you could provide names and titles
21	starting with direct reports, that would be great.
22	A Okay. Dr. Stephanie gosh Pearson.
23	Dr. Stephanie Pearson, who is my clinical director.
24	She's a direct report. Dr. Kristi Burk is a program
25	director who is a direct report. Layla Fitzgerald is



1	a direct report. Thandiwe Harris is a direct report.
2	Dr. Adell Flowers is a direct report.
3	Q And what are the roles of Dr. Adell
4	Flowers?
5	A I'm sorry. She is my workforce
6	development director, and Thandiwe Harris is my
7	certified parent peer support coordinator.
8	Q Excellent. And what's Layla Fitzgerald's
9	position?
10	A Program director.
11	Q Thank you. And then do you have any
12	category of indirect reports?
13	A Can you explain the question?
14	Q Sure. Is there anybody that the
15	individuals you just listed as a direct report
16	have do they have people who report to them, in
17	which case you would be somewhat of an indirect
18	person that they report to?
19	A Yes. Each of those individuals have
20	people that report to them. And so going back to
21	Dr. Pearson, Tony Simms, who is clinical manager,
22	reports to her and Ashley Wiggins, who is a clinical
23	specialist, reports to her.
24	Layla Fitzgerald, Danielle Alexander
25	reports to her, she is a program manager and



Τ	Ashuanni I'm blanking on her name, she's one of
2	our new hires. Ashuanni Straw is a program
3	coordinator that reports to Layla Fitzgerald.
4	Nobody reports to Dr. Flowers. Nobody
5	reports to Thandiwe Harris, and Dr. Burk has Brittany
6	Estrella, who is a program coordinator reporting to
7	her.
8	Q Thank you. How does your current role
9	relate to the questions you are here to provide
10	testimony about today?
11	A Well, as the office director, I approved
12	this program and receive reports on performance to
13	this program and had a role in procurement that led
14	to the providers selected for this program. And
15	Q Did you receive sorry, go ahead. I
16	didn't mean to cut you off.
17	A And I have been involved in as part of
18	the procurement processes, these are I don't
19	remember which because we had a few different

A And I have been involved in -- as part of the procurement processes, these are -- I don't remember which because we had a few different procurements as it relates to Apex, but typically there is either a -- a Q&A session -- there's a different title, but essentially it's a Q&A session that interested vendors participate in. And sometimes that can be in-person, slash, virtual or it can be through written Q&A. And I would have had



20

21

22

23

24

1	some role in those.	
2	Q Thank you. Did you receive any	
3	information from anyone other than counsel that you	
4	will be relying on to respond to these to	
5	questions about these topics today?	
6	A No.	
7	Q What is your highest level of education?	
8	A I have a law degree and I have a Master's	3
9	in Public Administration.	
10	Q And where is your law degree from?	
11	A Southern University Law Center in Baton	
12	Rouge, Louisiana.	
13	Q And what's the date of your JD?	
14	A That would have been May 2007	
15	Q And where is your Master's in Public	
16	Administration from?	
17	A The City University of New York Baruch	
18	College.	
19	Q And what is the date that you received	
20	that degree?	
21	A I think June of 2011. Oh	
22	Q And how does your sorry.	
23	A excuse me. July 2011.	
24	Q How does your education background relate	9
25	to your current role?	



Τ	A well, working in I guess I probably
2	use more of my MBA than my JD in this particular
3	role, because it was public administration and I'm
4	working for as a public administrator for the
5	State of Georgia.
6	Q And what is the GNETS program?
7	A My best understanding of GNETS
8	MS. JOHNSON: Go ahead.
9	THE WITNESS: is that it is a
10	network of schools operated by GaDOE.
11	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
12	Q Have you read any court filings in
13	connection with this lawsuit?
14	A Can you clarify that question?
15	Q Sure.
16	A Do you mean anything beyond what I've
17	seen in depositions or in preparing for depositions?
18	Q Sure, so I'm asking specifically now
19	about court filings, so any documents that were filed
20	actually with the court. So, for example, deposition
21	notices, the initial complaint, any motions, et
22	cetera.
23	A Yes, along the way I think I have.
24	Q And what documents do you believe you
25	reviewed?



1	А	The things that you have named to whether
2	it was motio	ons or things that the Department of
3	Justice subm	nitted in terms of the case that you were
4	bringing, qu	estions that you were asking, the request
5	for document	es, and had a role in producing documents,
6	and	
7	Q	And go ahead.
8	А	And giving a deposition. So today would
9	be my second	d deposition.
LO	Q	And did you read the initial complaint
L1	that started	d this lawsuit?
L2	A	I'm not sure.
L3	Q	And am I correct that you are being
L4	represented	by Melanie Johnson from the Robbins firm
L5	for this dep	position today?
L6	А	Yes, that's correct.
L7	Q	Did you talk to anyone to prepare for
L8	this deposit	tion today?
L9	A	No.
20	Q	You did not talk to Melanie Johnson to
21	prepare for	this deposition today?
22	А	No.
23	Q	And you didn't talk to anybody else from
24	the Robbins	firm?
25	A	No.



1	Q Did you
2	A Not today. Not for today's deposition,
3	no.
4	Q Did you do anything else to prepare for
5	today's deposition?
6	A No. I believe my legal director, Monica
7	Patel, who is represented here today, shared two
8	questions. I read the questions and coordinated to
9	be here today. That was the extent of preparation,
LO	either in getting familiar with the information. I
L1	came today relying on memory and what I knew about
L2	the program and coordinating schedules.
L3	Q And can we state for the record, did you
L4	say it's Monica Patel who's joining us on this Zoom;
L5	is that correct?
L6	A Yes.
L7	Q Is there anybody else, to your knowledge,
L8	that's on this Zoom other than the counsel that we
L9	listed at the beginning?
20	A No.
21	Q And if you did not communicate with
22	counsel regarding this deposition, how did you learn
23	that you were going to be providing testimony for

A Through Monica Patel.



this deposition?

24

Τ	Q Did you read any deposition transcripts
2	in this litigation prior to joining today?
3	A Not for today, no.
4	Q Did you read any deposition transcripts
5	prior for any other reason?
6	A I don't think so. The only thing I've
7	read, as I mentioned, is, prior to my last
8	deposition, the I guess, the filings and the
9	things that we submitted and the questions, but no
10	transcripts of testimony. I have not reviewed that.
11	So I'll clarify, no. The answer is no.
12	Q Did you talk with anyone else about their
13	experience being a deponent for a deposition in this
14	case?
15	A No.
16	Q So you did not speak with Monica Johnson
17	about her deposition?
18	A I did not.
19	Q Did you talk with anyone else about the
20	fact that you were going to be deposed today?
21	A Yes. I mentioned in passing that I would
22	be unavailable. The request came late. I'm a very
23	busy guy. My days are spent with meetings and so I
24	had to make adjustments to my calendar today. And
25	so, yes, I cancelled several meetings and the



1	explanation that I gave is that I needed to be
2	available for the Department of Justice.
3	Q And outside of sharing about scheduling,
4	did you share anything else about this deposition
5	with someone today before today?
6	A No, I did not.
7	Q And so you didn't share anything about
8	this deposition with someone today, outside of
9	scheduling?
10	A No, I did not.
11	Q And did you review any other documents
12	other than court filings to prepare for your
13	deposition today?
14	A No, I did not.
15	Q I understand that you have been deposed
16	before. How many times have you been deposed?
17	A This makes the second time.
18	Q And so the first time was also for this
19	current lawsuit?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Have you ever been a plaintiff in a
22	lawsuit?
23	A Maybe a car accident.
24	Q Any other times?
25	A No.



1	Q Have you ever been a defendant in a
2	lawsuit?
3	A No.
4	Q Switching gears. Am I correct to assume
5	that you are familiar with the Georgia Department of
6	Behavioral Health and Developmental disabilities or
7	DBHDD?
8	A Yes.
9	Q What is the Georgia Department of
10	Behavioral Health and Developmental Disabilities or
11	DBHDD?
12	A It is the public behavioral health
13	authority for the State of Georgia.
14	Q In your role, have you communicated with
15	GaDOE employees?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What was the subject of these
18	discussions?
19	A I can't say specifically. I communicate
20	with GaDOE employees on a regular basis.
21	Q Did the subject of these discussions ever
22	include the provision of mental health services to
23	public school students?
24	A Maybe can I say yes, broadly.
25	Q Have you ever discussed the Apex program



1	with somebody from GaDOE?
2	A Yes, broadly and specifically.
3	Q Have you ever discussed trauma-informed
4	care with someone from GaDOE?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
6	Outside the scope of the topic.
7	But you can answer.
8	THE WITNESS: I do not remember
9	specifically.
10	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
11	Q Have you ever discussed mental health
12	first aid with someone from GaDOE?
13	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
14	You can answer.
15	THE WITNESS: More than likely.
16	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
17	Q Have you ever discussed Project Aware
18	with someone from GaDOE?
19	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
20	You can answer.
21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
22	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
23	Q Have you ever discussed other mental
24	health programs or services with someone from GaDOE?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.



MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



March 09, 2023 26

Outside the scope of the topic. 1 2 You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 4 Has the collaboration with GaDOE changed 5 over time? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form and 9 outside the scope of the topic. 10 You can answer. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 13 How so? O 14 Well, the people have changed over time. Α 15 Our relationship formally has changed, as there are 16 two current team members that share time with GaDOE, one being Layla Fitzgerald, who is -- participates in 17 18 their Office of Whole Child and Support, and Danielle 19 Alexander, who serves as the mental health expert on 20 a current Project Aware grant. 21 0 Can you describe what that looks like, 22 when you said that they share time with GaDOE? 23 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 24 You can answer. 25 THE WITNESS: Essentially, the



department, DBHDD, pays their salary and 1 2 GaDOE reimburses some percentage of their 3 time to dedicate and share information 4 and help to build resources for GaDOE. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 5 And do you have a sense of what 6 7 percentage of their time they spend with GaDOE? 8 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 9 You can answer. 10 THE WITNESS: I think it's 11 50 percent, is the goal. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 12 13 And when did these changes occur that 14 fits -- that a filled position now exists? 15 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 16 You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't recall 17 18 exactly, but for Layla Fitzgerald it's 19 been a couple of years. And for Danielle 20 Alexander, I think her role started in 21 February of '21, maybe. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 22 And has there been any additional 23 24 collaboration with GaDOE since January of 2022? 25 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



1	Outside the scope of the topic.
2	You can answer.
3	THE WITNESS: If you're asking
4	specifically for me, no, it's been pretty
5	consistent. But I'm not in the weeds of
6	the day-to-day of my employees in terms
7	of the work that they're doing for GaDOE.
8	I have I receive general updates, but
9	I don't know how our relationship has
LO	changed based upon the work that they're
L1	doing.
L2	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L3	Q Is it fair to say that since January 2022
L4	you're not aware of any change in the collaboration
L5	between GaDOE and DBHDD?
L6	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
L7	Outside the scope of the topic.
18	You can answer.
L9	THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't agree
20	with that. I think the relationship is
21	different. Before, it was more
22	information sharing, informal, and I
23	think it is more formal since those roles
24	have been established.
2.5	



BY MS. CHEVRIER:
Q Is it important that DBHDD and GaDOE
coordinate with respect to addressing the mental
health needs of students in Georgia?
MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
Outside the scope of the topic.
You can answer.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MS. CHEVRIER:
Q Why is that?
MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
You can answer.
THE WITNESS: I think it's
important for all child-serving agencies
to coordinate as to the behavioral health
needs of Georgia's children. Each is
charged with very specific
responsibilities, in some cases those
responsibilities overlap, and it's
important for us to raise system of care
approach to coordinate, to plan for the
services of Georgia's children and
families.
BY MS. CHEVRIER:
Q Based on your communications with GaDOE,



1	do you believe it's do you believe this is a
2	priority for GaDOE?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
4	Outside scope of the topic and improper
5	opinion question.
6	But you can answer.
7	THE WITNESS: I can you clarify
8	the question, when you said this?
9	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
LO	Q Sure. The question before that I asked
L1	was is it important that DBHDD and GaDOE coordinate
L2	with respect to addressing mental health needs of
L3	students. And now I'm asking whether, based on your
L4	communications with GaDOE, you believe that this
L5	collaboration to address the mental health needs of
L6	students is a priority for GaDOE?
L7	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
L8	You can answer.
L9	THE WITNESS: I think the for
20	the Office of Whole Child Health and
21	Support, it is, and that is the office
22	that we have had our coordination.
23	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
24	Q And what specifically does the office of
25	whole child support contribute toward these



1	collaborative efforts with DBHDD?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
3	Outside the scope of the topic.
4	You can answer.
5	THE WITNESS: Well, that is the
6	office where my team members sit and that
7	is the office that I mean, they're
8	going through, from my understanding, a
9	reorganization but the work that
LO	aligns between the two agencies as it
L1	relates to mental health is in my
L2	belief is that's the office where it
L3	sits.
L4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L5	Q Is it important that students are able to
L6	access appropriate mental health services in their
L7	schools and communities?
L8	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
L9	Outside the scope of the topic and
20	opinion question.
21	But you can answer.
22	THE WITNESS: In my opinion, yes.
23	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
24	Q And why is that?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.



1	You can answer.
2	THE WITNESS: Because that is where
3	students spend the majority of their
4	time.
5	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
6	Q How specifically does having access to
7	appropriate mental health services in their schools
8	and communities help students?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
10	You can answer.
11	THE WITNESS: Well, each student
12	needs are different. Some need just
13	general, I think, education. Some may
14	need a little bit more. Some may need
15	regular therapy, or their family, or some
16	combination. And having a professional
17	embedded within the setting of which they
18	spend the most time eliminates access
19	barriers to care.
20	If those professionals there's a
21	payor component to that, in the public
22	system. And so if those students are
23	covered lives of the payors that are in
24	those schools, then that eliminates the

access barrier between that covered life



and that payor that covered that life.
If they're if the payor does
not is not does not approve
school-based services, having a
professional there in case of a crisis to
respond and stabilize also increases
access. But, in those scenarios,
long-term services, of course, would not
be available because it was not a part of
the I guess, the benefit plan of a
particular payor.
BY MS. CHEVRIER:
Q What is the Apex program?
A Apex is a school-based mental health
program.
Q When was it created?
A Sometime in 2015. It predates my tenure
at the department.
Q And what is the purpose of the Apex
program?
A To increase access to services for
students that are uninsured, have instance of
Medicaid or Managed Care Medicaid, to essentially
eliminate barriers by being in place. One of the
goals is early detection. So by being in place, as



those needs arise, the provider is there to offer 1 2 services. 3 And to create relationships between local community providers. The department, DBHDD, does not 4 5 provide direct services to children, 100 percent of the services are contracted out. So to encourage and 6 7 nurture and support relationships between those 8 approved community providers and schools and school 9 districts. 10 I think you've addressed this question already, but I'm going to give you the opportunity to 11 12 answer it in case there's anything you want to add. 13 What needs does the Apex program address? 14 Oh, I can no longer hear you. 15 THE REPORTER: I can't hear him. 16 THE WITNESS: Can you hear me? 17 MS. CHEVRIER: Oh, yes. 18 Okay. Something THE WITNESS: 19 happened with my Bluetooth. Can you hear 20 me? 21 MS. CHEVRIER: Yes. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay. Can you 23 restate the question? I'm sorry. 24 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 25 Q Sure. I know that you've already touched



on this, but I want to make sure you have the opportunity to answer this question specifically.

What needs does the Apex program -- what needs does the Apex program intend to address?

A Generally speaking, mental health services and support for students. So we think about -- we think about Apex as a three-tiered model, Tier 1 is universal prevention, Tier 2 is like a middle tier. It's kind of an at-risk tier. Students who may be in that tier may not have a diagnosis. And then Tier 3, which is the identified tier, which students have a diagnosis and require some ongoing support, like individual counseling or family counseling or group counseling.

And then also included in Tier 3 would be crisis services. So if a child goes into crisis within a school setting or if an embedded provider is -- may not be in that school but may be across the street at another school, our funds allow them to respond and stabilize that crisis, regardless of payor. But if their provider is not approved by the insurance company, then they would need to refer that student to their medical home. So their pediatrician or where they receive outpatient services.



1	BY	MS.	CHEVRIER:	

Q Is DBHDD the state agency with primary responsibility over the Apex program?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. JOHNSON: You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

#### BY MS. CHEVRIER:

Q How does the Apex program work? If you could describe how these services and supports get in schools.

A The department released procurement, either a request for proposal or a statement of need, to DBHDD-approved providers. Those providers submitted proposals. There were teams of individuals that reviewed the core of those proposals based upon some criteria and funding that was available. As a part of that requirement, provider agencies were required to include letters of support from school, district superintendent. And the plan for schools that they were asking for funds for, against a formal procurement process is -- and in scoring, is how the providers that are part of Apex were selected.

And the school district as the provider determined the school that the provider agencies



would embed their employees. Those employees are,
you know, available in the schools some period of
time. It just depends on the district. They could
be there every day, all day, they could be there one
day per week. It just varies across the state.

And during that time they are seeing students individually or -- you know, the same format they would have if they were in an outpatient clinic. They would -- some of them have space, for instance, for providers to have a dedicated space in schools to see students. But not all do, but most do.

So they'll have a case load of students that are referred by, most times, a counselor or a social worker and they see them for some period of time, until goals are met or if it's ongoing. I mean, it looks different.

Q When you first started answering this question, you said the department releases procurement. By department, did you mean DBHDD?

A Yes, correct.

Q And what are the types of services and supports that are provided through the Apex program?

A Behavioral health assessment. I don't know them all. I mean, just generally speaking, behavioral health assessment, individual counseling,



1	group counseling, family counseling, community
2	support, which is a skill-building component, general
3	kind of mental health wellness and promotion. So
4	being available for in-service or student assembly.
5	Those sorts of things.
6	Q Is it fair to say that the Apex program
7	involves a partnership between a community service
8	board and a school?
9	A It's broader than that. So embedded in
LO	the question, yes, but Apex also includes non-CSB
L1	providers.
L2	Q What is a community service board?
L3	A My understanding is it's an
L4	instrumentality of the state. The
L5	Q I'm sorry. Go ahead.
L6	A I'm sorry. They are quasi governmental
L7	behavioral health providers for the department. They
L8	are our safety net. Our Tier 1 providers, which make
L9	up our safety net.
20	Q And what are the other non-CSB providers
21	with which Apex programs partner?
22	A I don't know them all offhand, but I
23	think there are maybe ten or so, all around the
24	state.

Do you have any examples?



Q

1	A Sure. So Care Partners would be one.
2	Family Ties, CHRIS 180, Georgia Hope, Vashti, Tanner
3	Medical I think Academy, or Family Empowerment,
4	Social Empowerment.
5	I wasn't counting. I don't know if
6	anybody was.
7	Q Sounds
8	A Okay.
9	Q And those are programs that the Apex
10	program sometimes partners with depending regionally;
11	is that fair to say?
12	A The providers would have also
13	submitted responded to the procurement, whether it
14	was a statement of need or RFQ, submit a proposal,
15	met all the requirements of the procurement and
16	scored well and were selected. But once they were
17	selected to be an Apex provider, we continue to work
18	with them as Apex providers, you know, as long as
19	funding is available within the budget.
20	Q Where are Apex services provided?
21	A All over the state. I specifically,
22	I that's my best answer. All over the state. So
23	not every district or school has an Apex. Last
24	count, maybe a little over 700 of the 2,200 schools



within the state.

THE WITNESS: Are you saying known



Τ	school or zoned school?
2	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
3	Q Sorry. That would be helpful to clarify.
4	Zoned school, with a Z like zebra.
5	A I don't know if I know the answer to
6	that. It doesn't seem like this question differs
7	from your last question, but the zoning is throwing
8	me off. So I'm not sure of the answer to that.
9	Q Sure. So we'll remove the word zoned.
10	Basically my initially the question that I asked
11	previously is can students receive mental health
12	services by community clinicians.
13	Now I'm curious, can those services be
14	provided in their school?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	MS. JOHNSON: You can answer.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
20	Q And what I mean by zoned schools
21	specifically is, you know, a child's neighborhood
22	school.
23	Is it possible that at a child's
24	neighborhood school they could receive mental health
25	services by a community clinician?



March 09, 2023 42

1 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 2 You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's 4 possible. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 5 And would that be a part of the general 6 0 7 term, school-based mental health services? 8 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 9 You can answer. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 11 And can school-based mental health 12 0 13 services also include the provision of mental health 14 services by community clinicians in a school that 15 serves general education students as well as students 16 with disabilities? 17 Α Yes. 18 What type of commitment is required of 0 school leadership to participate in the Apex program 19 20 partnership? 21 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 22 Outside the scope of the question. 23 But you can answer. 24 THE WITNESS: Are you asking as 25 required by DBHDD?



1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q Yes.
3	A Can you restate the question?
4	Q Sure. What type of commitment is
5	required of school leadership to participate in the
6	Apex program partnership?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
8	You can answer.
9	THE WITNESS: There's no specific
LO	requirement, but we do lessons have
L1	taught us that in the opinion of
L2	school-based mental health services have
L3	shifted, especially because of COVID-19.
L4	There the State was not as
L5	prevalent as it was early on in the
L6	tenure of this program. But early on we
L7	learned that when there was a champion
L8	within the school district, at the
L9	district level, that helped with the
20	uptake or the program being able to
21	thrive.
22	When there was no champion of
23	leadership, then sometimes school
24	district executives didn't know that an
25	Apex program was in their district or



March 09, 2023

1 what it was achieving or trying to 2 achieve, therefore, those programs didn't 3 perform as well. And so, somewhere along 4 the way, we required letters of support 5 from the superintendent or his or her designee. Beyond that, we don't have any 6 7 specific requirements. 8 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 9 Are you aware of any requirements for commitment required of school leadership to 10 participate in an Apex program outside of what DBHDD 11 12 requests and that you just described? 13 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 14 Outside the scope of the topic. 15 But you can answer. 16 THE WITNESS: No. No, I am not. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 17 18 And what do the CSBs contribute with this 0 19 partnership? 20 MS. JOHNSON: Same objection. 21 You can answer. 22 THE WITNESS: They receive funding on a contract from DBHDD and, under that 23 24 contract, they hire staff and then those 25 staff are embedded within school



1	settings.
2	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
3	Q Are schools expected to help identify
4	students who might be appropriate for Apex services?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
6	You can answer.
7	THE WITNESS: Yes I'm I'm
8	the word expected, I'm hung up by that
9	but I think the general answer to the
10	question is yes. And to clarify, if
11	the the therapists are there embedded
12	in schools, and students would not know
13	that they were there unless there was a
14	referral for services.
15	Sometimes the best I guess
16	observers of the need for services could
17	be a teacher, could be a coach or, you
18	know or, you know, a librarian or
19	someone in the lunchroom. Somehow, some
20	way, they make a recommendation to a
21	counselor or a school social worker, who
22	then makes a referral to the Apex
23	therapist.
24	But expectation, I don't know if I
25	agree with the word, but, yes, this only



March 09, 2023 46

works if the school is a partner in 1 2 making the referral -- or works better, I 3 would say, when the school is a partner in making the referral. 4 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 5 Is Apex an effective program? 6 0 7 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. Outside the scope of the topic. 8 9 You can answer. THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes. 10 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 11 12 And by we, is that the people at DBHDD? 0 13 Yes. Α 14 Does it help children to have access to 15 mental health services in their schools and 16 communities? 17 Same objection. MS. JOHNSON: 18 You can answer. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 20 21 How do you know this? 0 22 Same objection. MS. JOHNSON: 23 You can answer. 24 THE WITNESS: Because of the data 25 that we review of the number of schools



March 09, 2023

served and the number of children served 1 2 and the services that they are receiving. 3 BY MS. CHEVRIER: Is there an annual evaluation of the Apex 4 0 5 program? Object to form. 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 You can answer. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 10 Are there any other evaluations of the 11 Apex program that occur throughout the year outside of this annual evaluation? 12 13 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 14 You can answer. 15 THE WITNESS: There's monthly data 16 that's tracked. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 17 18 Is the monthly data put into any sort of 19 monthly report or is it just available data to 20 review? I don't know the answer to that. Our 21 Α 22 evaluation team has changed and our frequency has 23 changed and I haven't kept up with some of those 24 There have been times where, yes, we 25 receive monthly data and then there were times where



1	we moved to a dashboard where we were required
2	excuse me to log in. I think we may have moved
3	back to some form of monthly reporting, but not as
4	extensive as what is published annually.
5	Q How does the Apex program fund the
6	provision of school-based mental health services in
7	Georgia schools?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
9	Outside the scope of the topic.
10	You can answer.
11	THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the
12	question?
13	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
14	Q Sure. How does the Apex program fund the
15	provision of school-based mental health services in
16	Georgia schools?
17	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
	MS. JOHNSON: Same Objection.
18	THE WITNESS: Well, DBHDD receives
18 19	
	THE WITNESS: Well, DBHDD receives
19	THE WITNESS: Well, DBHDD receives funding in our Child and Adolescents
19 20	THE WITNESS: Well, DBHDD receives funding in our Child and Adolescents budget. That funding is passed along,
19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Well, DBHDD receives  funding in our Child and Adolescents  budget. That funding is passed along,  through contract, to approved providers.
19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Well, DBHDD receives  funding in our Child and Adolescents  budget. That funding is passed along,  through contract, to approved providers.  And those providers submit budgets



March 09, 2023

it could be for materials, those sorts of 1 2 things. 3 And then those providers -- and it's for a portion of time, is what the 4 department funding supports. Earlier, I 5 stated that payor is important to this. 6 7 Commercial insurance does not recognize 8 school-based programs. And so, by the 9 department funding some portion of time 10 for a provider to be in a school to respond to a crisis, if not for our 11 12 funding, that provider may not be in 13 schools if the majority of the covered 14 lives in that school were commercially insured. But we do require our providers 15 16 to bill. And so this is a program that's 17 18 focused on public sector. So Medicaid, 19 traditional managed care or uninsured, 20 and not necessarily commercial insurance. So, to clarify, there are things that we 21 22 fund, including a portion of personnel, 23 and then providers bill Medicaid for the 24 remainder. And so those students would



have to be Medicaid covered lives.

1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q I believe when you started answering this
3	question you mentioned a Child Adolescent budget.
4	Where did that money come from?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Objection. Outside
6	the scope of the topic.
7	But you can answer.
8	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure exactly.
9	I guess the General Assembly or the
10	General Fund.
11	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
12	Q What is the target population for the
13	Apex program?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
15	You can answer.
16	THE WITNESS: School-aged children
17	that receive public insurance or who are
18	uninsured, or in some cases underinsured,
19	that align with the State's Medicaid
20	plan. So ages starting at age four
21	through graduation of high school.
22	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
23	Q Does the target population of the Apex
24	program include students with severe emotional and



25

behavioral disability?

March 09, 2023

1	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
2	You can answer.
3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
5	Q Is there an expectation that Apex can
6	help students who are at risk of going to GNETS or
7	might otherwise be sent to GNETS?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
9	Outside the scope of the topic.
10	You can answer.
11	THE WITNESS: It depends on the
12	location of the GNETS program.
13	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
14	Q Can you explain what you mean by that?
15	A Apex is a three-tiered model. It serves
16	all three tiers, so prevention, middle tier and Tier
17	3, which is the identified tier. And if a GNETS
18	program is embedded in a school that Apex is the
19	providing services, that program will be eligible for
20	services like any other student, because Apex the
21	goal of Apex is to be available and apply services to
22	all three tiers.
23	Ideally, if through universal
24	prevention, that may reduce the number of students
25	that have unmet needs in Tiers 2 and 3, and then vice



1	versa. So if the offset is the behavior in Tier 2,
2	therapist is available, intervenes, then that may be
3	an acuity curve that, you know, prevents that student
4	from having more need in Tier 3. And so, ideally,
5	the three tiers work together.
6	Q So I want to just clarify the question.
7	The question was, is there an expectation
8	of Apex that the Apex program can help students
9	who are at risk of going to GNETS, so a student who
10	has not yet been sent for a consultation for GNETS,
11	but whose behaviors and emotional and behavioral
12	disability might put them at risk of being sent to
13	GNETS? So let me reask the question.
14	Is there an expectation that Apex can
15	help students who are at risk of going to GNETS?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
17	Outside the scope of the topic.
18	You can answer.
19	THE WITNESS: I think I would need
20	to know better your definition of
21	at-risk. Because I don't know what
22	places a student at risk of being sent to
23	a GNETS.
24	BY MS. CHEVRIER:

Let me ask this a different way.



Q

1	You just testified that you just
2	testified that students who are receiving Tier 2
3	services, based on their receipt of those Tier 2
4	services through the Apex program, it might decrease
5	their need to require Tier 3 services later; is that
6	fair to say?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Specifically, students who are at risk of
9	being sent to the GNETS students [sic] are students
10	with severe disruptive behaviors.
11	Is it possible that the Apex program can
12	provide student support services and school-based
13	mental health in a way that decreases severe
14	disruptive behaviors?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
16	You can answer.
17	THE WITNESS: It is possible, yes,
18	based upon maybe what the diagnosis is.
19	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
20	Q Is it fair to say that one of the goals
21	or expectations of the Apex program is that it could
22	serve students with severe disruptive behaviors in a
23	way that would decrease those severe disruptive
24	behaviors?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.



March 09, 2023 54

1	You can answer.
2	THE WITNESS: If those behaviors
3	have are mental health in nature.
4	Apex
5	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
6	Q So is it fair to say sorry. Go ahead.
7	A Apex is a school-based mental health
8	program. And severe emotional disturbance or severe
9	mental illness. I'm not a clinician, but the
10	parameters of Apex are mental health. And if what
11	I in my thoughts about GNETS, those kids that are
12	sent to GNETS, their behaviors may fall outside of
13	those that are mental health in nature. And so then
14	that would complicate their ability to benefit from
15	an Apex program model.
16	Q More generally, if Apex services were
17	available for all students exhibiting severe
18	behavioral issues, and those services were provided
19	with fidelity and by appropriately trained personnel,
20	would you expect referrals to GNETS to decline?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
22	THE WITNESS: I'm unsure of the
23	answer to that.
24	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
25	Q Sure.



1	A Possibly, if the behavior was mental
2	health in nature.
3	Q If Apex services were available for all
4	students exhibiting severe behavioral issues, and we
5	can assume that at least some of those severe
6	behavioral issues had bases in mental health, would
7	you expect that those severe behavioral issues could
8	decrease with Apex services?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
10	THE WITNESS: It is possible.
11	MS. CHEVRIER: I'd like the court
12	reporter I'd like to show what is
13	being marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 978.
14	(Plaintiff's (McKay) Deposition
15	Exhibit No. 978 was marked for the
16	record.)
17	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
18	Q We'll give my colleague a moment to bring
19	it up. This is a screen capture of a website titled
20	Apex 3.0 Frequently Asked Questions, correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And I'll indicate for the record that,
23	because it's a screen capture, the document shows the
24	time stamp of the capture at the bottom of the page
25	and if information is cut off by this text, the text

1	is reproduced on the next page.
2	Do you recognize this website?
3	A It looks like the DBHDD's website.
4	Q Are these FAQs directed at Apex 3.0?
5	A This FAQ was specific to Apex 3.0, yes.
6	Apex 3.0 is aligned to the funding source that
7	supported the contracts of the providers selected
8	under 3.0.
9	Q You mentioned that
LO	A So
L1	Q Sorry. Go ahead.
L2	A I was going to say, it's been a long time
L3	since I've seen this. But in my just quick review of
L4	this, the question explains the difference between
L5	1.0, 2.0, 3 .0. Apex is a single program, but it has
L6	received different funding sources to support the
L7	program. And we, if required by the General
L8	Assembly, would need to have been able to report what
L9	activities were supported by which funding source.
20	Apex 3.0 was a one-time fund to by our
21	current governor, Governor Kemp, that we used to
22	expand the program through the RFQ process that we
23	talked about earlier. Those are one-time funds.
24	Those funds got sent then not that level, but we

have sent at a smaller amount of funds annualized for



March 09, 2023

1 ongoing support for the program. 2 Did DBHDD issue these FAQs in connection 3 with the contracts for that funding? 4 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 5 You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't know the 6 7 answer to that. I -- I think our intent 8 was to -- because the other funding that 9 went to other agencies hit the street at the same time as the funding that we 10 11 received. And we were receiving, if 12 memory serves, a lot of questions about 13 this \$69 million that did not come to 14 DBHDD, and we thought it was in the best 15 interest of the department to issue FAQs 16 to be able to refer individuals to, to 17 clarify the 69 million versus the 18 1 million versus Apex and the 8.4 million 19 versus Apex 3.0 and the other iterations 20 of Apex. 21 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 22 Did DBHDD also issue FAQs in connection 0 23 with 2.0? 24 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 25 Outside the scope of the topic.



March 09, 2023 58

1 You can answer. 2 THE WITNESS: I don't remember if 3 we did. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 4 5 O What about in connection with Apex 1.0? Same objection. 6 MS. JOHNSON: 7 THE WITNESS: I do not believe so, 8 no. 9 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 10 Is it your understanding that, regardless of whether an FAQ was issued for Apex 1.0 and 2.0, 11 12 that the qualities were the same that are outlined in 13 this document? 14 MS. JOHNSON: Same objection. 15 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I 16 think the focus of this document mainly was Apex 3.0. And I think the 17 18 significance of 3.0 at the time is that 19 it was one-time funding. Meaning that if 20 we were unsuccessful in annualizing that 21 funding, then the providers selected and the schools selected as a part of our 3.0 22 23 fund source would have gone away. 24 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 25 Q At what time were the policies set forth



March 09, 2023

1	in in this FAQ developed?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
3	You can answer.
4	THE WITNESS: I don't recall,
5	
	specifically.
6	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
7	Q Do you recall
8	A It would have been it would have been
9	the first year of Governor Kemp, so maybe four years
10	ago.
11	Q And do you know who developed them?
12	A Possibly a team of folks. I'm sure I had
13	a hand in it, at least if not writing, reviewing,
14	approving. Probably communications professionals.
15	Layla Fitzgerald would have had a role in it.
16	Q Who supervised the preparation of the
17	FAQs and their answers?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
19	You can answer.
20	THE WITNESS: It would have been a
21	team effort.
22	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
23	Q Do you recall being
24	A I wouldn't
25	Q a member sorry.



March 09, 2023

1	A I'm sorry. I cut you off. Can you
2	repeat?
3	Q Do you recall being a member of that team
4	that supervised the FAQs and their answers?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
6	THE WITNESS: Yes, I had a role,
7	but I was going to say, final approval
8	would have come from me.
9	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
LO	Q Sorry. Did you say that final approval
L1	would have come through you?
L2	A Yes.
L3	Q And can you share any information about
L4	your other role prior to providing final approval?
L5	A I I would have been involved in
L6	discussions about the need for these or why there was
L7	a need for these. Either would have written a draft
L8	that others then reviewed and, you know, edited. I
L9	would have involved external team members. So
20	whether it was legal or whether it was
21	communications, we would have the team, both within
22	my office and extended, would have less landed on a
23	final draft, and then I would have given final
24	approval of, yes, this is ready for publication.
25	And I imagine someone in the



1	communications office then would have uploaded this
2	to our public-facing website.
3	Q How was it determined which questions
4	would be included?
5	A Most likely the questions that were being
6	asked of us at the time. On the most regular
7	questions that were being asked.
8	Q And who would have asked those questions?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
10	THE WITNESS: General stakeholders.
11	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
12	Q And by general stakeholders, is that
13	schools, students, families, providers?
14	A Providers, schools, school districts.
15	Q Is it fair to say that you approved the
16	FAQ questions and answers?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Do you see the question on the FAQ that
19	asks: In which types of schools can Apex services be

21 A Yes.

implemented?

20

22

23

24

25

Q Do you see the answer which says: Apex services cannot be provided in private charter schools, GNETS standalone facilities, private schools or homeschooled, slash, cyber public schools?



March 09, 2023

1	A Yes.
2	Q Does this answer accurately reflect the
3	policy of DBHDD?
4	A I'm not sure if I know the answer to
5	that. It
6	Q Is it your current understanding that
7	sorry. Go ahead.
8	A It depends on how you're defining policy.
9	I wouldn't equate this to a policy or contract
10	language. I'm not sure if this is that wording is
11	included in a contract, but it is in line with our
12	approach for the Apex model.
13	So, to clarify, I'm not sure if this is
14	spelled out the same way in a DBHDD contract and I
15	would not equate this to a DBHDD policy.
16	Q Does this answer accurately reflect the
17	practices of DBHDD, that Apex services are not
18	provided in private charter schools, GNETS standalone
19	facilities, private schools or homeschooled, slash,
20	cyber public school?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
22	THE WITNESS: Yes. Philosophy,
23	reasons, I think, is a better word
24	



1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q So does this answer accurately reflect
3	the philosophy of DBHDD?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
7	Q Why was it determined that, quote, Apex
8	services cannot be provided in GNETS standalone
9	facilities?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
11	THE WITNESS: Because it was our
12	understanding that standalone facilities,
13	the model would not comport. The
14	three-tier model would not comport to a
15	standalone facility.
16	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
17	Q Who was involved in the decision that
18	Apex services cannot be provided in GNETS standalone
19	facilities?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
21	THE WITNESS: I would have
22	inherited the decision and continued it.
23	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
24	Q And what is you mentioned the three
25	tier. What is the rationale for this policy, or



1 philosophy? 2 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 3 THE WITNESS: It's long and technical and complex, but there is --4 there is a thing called multitiered 5 system of support. You may be familiar 6 7 in your role -- in your particular role, 8 but -- and then school-based services fit 9 within that multitiered system of support, which is NTSS. 10 And part of that is that three-tier 11 12 model. Some have more, some have four 13 tiers, but most have three tiers. 14 the three tiers are the ones that we 15 talked about previously, which are 16 universal prevention, at-risk tier, identified tier. 17 And, according to best practices, 18 19 at the time the School of Social Work, 20 led at the University of Maryland -there's a national conference -- but as 21 22 we were building and refining this 23 program, we aliqued our goals with those

of best practice, that align with those



three tiers.

24

And information that we received from -- I would say -- the program staff at the state level for GNETS is that there were differences between the programs, per se. I understood those differences to be either the schools -- the program was embedded in a traditional school, the program may have been on a campus or the program was standalone.

In the prior goal, the goal of Apex, the goal of DBHDD, was to increase services at your general public schools, that that model, our approach, aligned with two of the three GNETS programs, so those that were either on campus or embedded within the school, and it did not align with those that were standalone, as that school did not align with the three-tier model of Tier 1, universal prevention, Tier 2, at-risk tier, and Tier 3, identified tier or intensive tier.

23 BY MS. CHEVRIER:

Q You testified before that you had to give final approval for the FAQ that we're looking at now,



1	correct?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Were you the final level of approval or
4	did anybody else have to approve it after you
5	approved it?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
7	THE WITNESS: I believe I I
8	believe I was the final level approval.
9	If someone approved after me, I was not
LO	aware of it.
L1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L2	Q I know that you started to touch on this.
L3	Why was it determined that Apex services
L4	cannot be provided in GNETS standalone facilities?
L5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form
L6	THE WITNESS: Because they
L7	because they showed information that
L8	that they shared with us. And at a
L9	particular point in time, is that the
20	standalone programs would only fit in
21	Tier 3, they would not fit in Tier 2 or
22	Tier 1, and that didn't align with the
23	model that we were advancing.
24	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
25	Q Where did you learn that information that



March 09, 2023

you relied on that standalone GNETS facilities would 1 2 only provide Tier 3? 3 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 4 THE WITNESS: There were regular 5 meetings with the GNETS staffers over at GaDOE for a period of time. 6 I want to 7 say that information was shared and 8 reiterated during those meetings. 9 BY MS. CHEVRIER: And who would have been in those 10 meetings? 11 12 Object to form. MS. JOHNSON: 13 THE WITNESS: I don't recall 14 specific names, but it would have been 15 the State director of the GNETS program 16 and maybe a support staff and myself, and other -- my other team members would be 17 18 called in as needed to share information 19 on programs or to answer specific 20 questions that the GNETS director had of 21 DBHDD. 22 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 23 And do you recall who was the State 24 director for the GNETS program at GaDOE during the 25 time that you had these meetings?



March 09, 2023

1 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 2 THE WITNESS: If there was -- there 3 I don't remember their names, was two. but it was two females. There was -- so 4 5 let me clarify my answer. There was the first director that I 6 7 worked with. I think she became ill and 8 did not return to work. And then there 9 was the second director that I would meet 10 with. But I'm blanking on their names. 11 Maybe the second person was named Vickie, 12 Vickie something. 13 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 14 Is it possible you're referring to Vickie 15 Cleveland and Nakeba Rahming. 16 Yes, those are the two individuals. Α Vickie was the second director. 17 18 Did GaDOE have any additional input into 0 19 the decision to not provide Apex services at standalone GNETS facilities? 20 21 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 22 THE WITNESS: GaDOE does not have decision making in the Apex program, not 23 24 formally. 25



BY MS. CHEVRIER:

Q It sounds like -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that they did provide some information on which DBHDD, with decision-making power, relied; is that accurate?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: No, that's not accurate. The decision making happened at the local level. The State level, by the time we developed our kind of formal meeting frequency, Apex was an established program.

My predecessors developed the program in response to a need. I am not aware of GaDOE's involvement in those discussions or support of it. And then after some period of time, and maybe in response to a number of questions that GaDOE was receiving, we agreed to establish regular kind of meetings and information sharing that eventually led to a more formalized role, where now there are staff that are shared between the offices, with the goal of further building and aligning our efforts as it

1	relates to services available mental
2	health services that are available in
3	schools.
4	But that is specific to, again,
5	that office, the Office of Whole Child
6	and Support, and GaDOE is a very large
7	agency, as is DBHDD.
8	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
9	Q I understand that you shared the
LO	philosophy that Apex services do not are not
L1	provided in GNETS standalone facilities.
L2	Does DBHDD provide any Apex services in
L3	any GNETS standalone centers?
L4	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
L5	Outside the scope of the topic.
L6	THE WITNESS: DBHDD does not. I
L7	can't speak to whether DBHDD approved
L8	providers do or if DBHDD approved
L9	providers utilize safety net funding that
20	they provide, they turn around and
21	provide services within schools. Not to
22	my knowledge.
23	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
24	Q To your knowledge, are there any Apex
25	services in any GNETS standalone services?



March 09, 2023

1 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 2 Outside the scope of the question. 3 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge 4 per se. I --BY MS. CHEVRIER: 5 In your understanding -- sorry. 6 0 7 ahead. 8 Α I would like to clarify the answer. 9 I would assume that there are for, again, 10 those programs that are Apex schools that have those programs, but I can't specifically say that there 11 12 are, in response to your question. 13 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 14 Sure. And my question was intended to be 15 specifically about GNETS standalone centers. 16 those would not be the facilities that are embedded 17 in a school that would otherwise have Apex. 18 So is it your understanding that there 19 are any GNETS standalone centers that receive Apex services? 20 21 MS. JOHNSON: Object to the form 22 and outside the scope of the question. 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, not to my 24 knowledge, I don't think any standalone 25 facilities receive Apex services.



1	RV	MS.	CHEVRIER:
		1,10.	

Q In your understanding of the tiers, can you provide Tier 3 services without also providing Tier 1 and Tier 2 services?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I think, yes, it's possible. For example, I mentioned, if a child goes into crisis, the provider will respond regardless of payor source. And so, for example, if a child has Blue Cross Blue Shield or Aetna and goes into crisis, the provider is intended to respond to stabilize that crisis regardless of, you know, payor sources.

So although that child may not receive services in Tier 2, that child also could be -- could have some benefits or you know, just some general behavioral health, mental health promotion in Tier 1, or if they went into crisis in Tier 3. But the model and the funding allows the provider to be available to serve all three tiers.

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form and



March 09, 2023 74

1 outside the scope of the topic. 2 But you can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that. 4 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 5 Who made the determination that Apex 6 0 services would be available in GNETS school-based 7 8 locations? 9 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. Outside the scope of the topic. 10 11 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: I quess that would 13 have been me, and my team -- along with 14 my team, but I don't think that -- it was 15 not necessarily a decision about GNETS in 16 or GNETS out. It was about public 17 schools that aligned with the model. And so, you know, the other schools 18 19 that are listed here, including the GNETS standalone schools, based on information 20 21 that we had, did not align with the 22 So private schools did not model. 23 receive public dollars. Students that 24 were -- receive -- you know, that were homeschooled could not participate in all 25



1	three models.
2	And, again, the goal is early
3	one of the goals is early intervention.
4	And the earlier you intervene or you
5	know, there's a chance that you're able
6	to prevent an acuity curve.
7	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
8	Q And did GaDOE provide any
9	A The
10	Q Sorry. Go ahead.
11	A And I was just going to say, and then
12	based on information that we had, these particular
13	environments did not align to the model.
14	Q And did GaDOE provide any input or
15	information that helped DBHDD make the decision that
16	Apex services could be available in GNETS
17	school-based locations?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
19	Outside the scope of the topic.
20	You can answer.
21	THE WITNESS: Not that I remember.
22	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
23	Q And
24	A If I could
25	Q Go ahead.



If I could clarify. I remember lots of 1 2 questions about the Apex program, and part of those 3 meetings was educational, and I think there was a goal of maybe tightening it and that sort of thing, 4 but we never got there. 5 And when you were talking with GaDOE 6 0 7 about the Apex program, did the GNETS program come up 8 during these educational conversations? 9 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form and 10 outside the scope of the topic. 11 You can answer. 12 THE WITNESS: What the GNETS State 13 director, not in the office of whole 14 child health, from my understanding, 15 GNETS is not an office or a program 16 within the office that we work with. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 17 18 Can you please describe any collaboration 0 19 between the Apex program and GNETS classrooms? 20 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 21 Outside the scope of the topic. 22 You can answer. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm not able to 24 describe that collaboration.



1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q And is that because you're unaware of a
3	collaboration?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
6	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
7	Q Do Apex personnel ever work in GNETS
8	classrooms?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form and
10	outside the scope of the topic.
11	You can answer.
12	THE WITNESS: I'm unsure of the
13	answer to that, but my guess would be
14	well, I'll pause right there. I was
15	going to say, I'm unsure of the answer to
16	that.
17	If it's a therapist, my guess would
18	be that the answer I don't think
19	that they would be in a classroom,
20	whether it's GNETS or just general
21	education, as they want to work with that
22	child in a one-on-one setting. But they
23	could be in a classroom for general
24	observations or a PSI person. A
25	community support individual which



March 09, 2023 78

teaches skill building also could be in a 1 2 classroom to observe. But it's hard to say, so I'll qo 3 4 back to my original answer. I'm not sure 5 of the answer to that. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 6 7 Thank you. So is it fair to say that Q 8 what you did just share was speculation? 9 MS. JOHNSON: Form. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 BY MS. CHEVRIER: Are all the services that are available 12 0 13 through Apex available in standalone GNETS programs 14 in school facilities through any other program? 15 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 16 Outside the scope of the topic. 17 You can answer. 18 THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the 19 question? BY MS. CHEVRIER: 20 Sure. So it sounds like we've confirmed 21 0 22 that it's DBHDD philosophy that Apex services are not 23 provided in standalone GNETS centers, correct? 24 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.



1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q I'm curious whether the services that are
3	provided through Apex are provided to GNETS
4	standalone programs through any other avenue?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
6	Outside the scope of the topic.
7	You can answer.
8	THE WITNESS: I'm unsure of the
9	answer to that. I am aware of a
LO	partnership between a provider an Apex
L1	provider. So 2.0. And I think one of
L2	the programs that is based in maybe
L3	Clayton County, Henry County, I believe
L4	they have a contractual relationship with
L5	some services. I have general awareness
L6	of it.
L7	What exactly they are doing and if
L8	that would be responsive to your
L9	question, I'm unsure.
20	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
21	Q Do you have any reason to believe that
22	they specifically work with GNETS programs?
23	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
24	Outside the scope of the topic.



You can answer.

March 09, 2023

1 THE WITNESS: So View Point Health, 2 yes, they specifically work with that 3 GNETS program. The -- I don't know the name of it, but the catchment area of 4 5 that program is Clayton County and Henry County, I believe. 6 7 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 8 In your answer to the question prior to 9 this one, I believe you used words like believe and 10 general awareness. 11 Is it fair to say that you're not aware 12 with specificity what types of programs -- what type 13 of services View Point provided to GNETS programs? 14 Α Yes, that's accurate. 15 (Previously marked Plaintiff's 16 Exhibit No. 870 was identified for the 17 record.) 18 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 19 I'm going to show you what has previously 20 been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 870. I'm going to 21 give my colleague a moment to pull it up. 22 This is an October 17th, 2019 e-mail from Danielle Jones to All CYF Consortium, and copied to Layla 23 24 Fitzgerald and a number of other people. Is that 25 correct?



1	A Yes.
2	Q At the date of this e-mail, was Danielle
3	Jones a program manager reporting to Layla
4	Fitzgerald?
5	A Most likely, yes.
6	Q And what's Danielle Jones' current role?
7	A Program manager. So at the time she may
8	have been a program coordinator. She has since been
9	promoted to the program manager.
10	Q And she changed her last name since this
11	e-mail was sent?
12	A Yes. She got married. Her last name is
13	Alexander. So I think she goes by Danielle Jones
14	Alexander.
15	Q Thank you. And did Ms. Fitzgerald report
16	to you at the time of this e-mail?
17	A Can you repeat the question?
18	Q Did Ms. Layla Fitzgerald report to you at
19	the time of this e-mail?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Were Ms. Fitzgerald and Ms. Jones the
22	principal DBHDD employees in the Office of Children,
23	Young Adults and Families concerned with the Apex
24	program in October of 2019?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



March 09, 2023

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, plus myself. 2 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 3 At the time you were director of OCYF, Q 4 correct? 5 Α Yes. And both Ms. Fitzgerald and Ms. Jones 6 0 7 reported to you? 8 Α Yes. 9 0 What is the All CYF Consortium? 10 MS. JOHNSON: Objection to form. 11 Outside the scope of the question. You 12 can answer -- or outside the scope of the 13 topic. 14 You can answer. 15 THE WITNESS: The All CYF 16 Consortium was essentially when we brought as many of our program -- like 17 18 funded partner program leads together, at 19 one place in time. So there are a couple of times 20 21 throughout the year where the department 22 sponsors educational training events. One is what we call our annual System of 23 24 Care Academy, specifically June each

year. Another would be our annual



Τ	behavioral health symposium, typically
2	October each year.
3	And, some years back, certainly
4	after I got to the department in this
5	particular role I came to the
6	department in this role, but not long
7	after being here I realized and this
8	was prior to the pandemic. I realized
9	that we were spending a lot of time and a
LO	lot of money in holding cohort meetings,
L1	traveling all over the state. And I
L2	counted up the meetings, it was well over
L3	100, and it did not seem like a good use
L4	of time and resources.
L5	And so what we agreed to do is we
L6	hold these big events every year, where
L7	with we invite the providers. We host
L8	them in some way. And so we could attach
L9	onto those events, the Care Academy or
20	the behavioral health symposium, a day or
21	half day that was just focused on our
22	particular programming across the
23	practice areas within in the Office of
24	Children, Young Adults and Families.
25	And so the title then became All



March 09, 2023

CYF Consortium. So that allowed us to maximize time and reduce the impact -- travel impact on budgets or reduce the impact of lost productivity by not requiring our provider network to attend

8 BY MS. CHEVRIER:

year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Does the All CYF Consortium include the opportunity for Apex providers to review the annual Apex evaluation and technical system?

so many different meetings throughout the

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form and outside the scope of the topic.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember specifically. Those agendas would have been ad hoc and based upon, you know, some trend or need at that point in time.

BY MS. CHEVRIER:

Q I'm going to ask you to scroll up so that you're able to see all of the e-mail addresses that this e-mail was sent to.

Do you see all the e-mail addresses?

A Yes.

Q Do they include all or most Apex



1	providers?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
3	THE WITNESS: I'm reviewing.
4	MS. CHEVRIER: Take your time.
5	THE WITNESS: This looks like
6	Apex Apex 1.0. So, to answer your
7	question, no, this would not be
8	reflective of all Apex providers as
9	contracted providers go today. I'm
10	unsure of when I'm unsure of the exact
11	date of when we expanded. And that
12	expansion would have been supported by
13	what we call Apex 2.0 funds.
14	But most of these look like CSBs
15	because it looks like mostly CSBs.
16	Then my guess is these are Apex 1 only.
17	I do see the e-mail address of
18	Vashti, which is not a CSB, but I don't
19	remember when Vashti I don't remember
20	if Vashti was included in the 1.0 group.
21	I know they were included in 2.0 group.
22	So then so this may be 1.0 or 2.0, but
23	I would say that this is speculation.
24	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
25	Q Is it fair to say that this e-mail



1	includes at least some Apex providers?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Was this the type of e-mail that DBHDD
4	employees sent out periodically to inform Apex
5	providers of DBHDD approaches?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
7	Outside the scope of the topic.
8	You can answer.
9	THE WITNESS: I think that the
10	I'm unsure of the answer to the question
11	that you're asking. My interpretation of
12	this e-mail is previewing topics for the
13	All CYF consortium.
14	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
15	Q Did you discuss the matters set forth in
16	this e-mail with Ms. Fitzgerald before it was sent?
17	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
18	THE WITNESS: Well, I think your
19	question asked about Ms. Fitzgerald. I
20	think Ms. Jones sent this e-mail.
21	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
22	Q Thank you. I'm going to ask next about
23	whether you talked about it with Ms. Jones. I'm
24	curious we can add them together.
25	Did you discuss the matters set forward



Was this e-mail consistent with matters



Q

1	previously discussed between you and Monica?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
3	THE WITNESS: I don't I don't
4	recall.
5	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
6	Q Was this e-mail
7	A My
8	Q Sorry. Go ahead.
9	A I was just going to say, Monica Johnson
10	and I hadn't didn't talk about GNETS program
11	during our time working together.
12	Q Was this e-mail consistent with matters
13	previously discussed between you and Layla
14	Fitzgerald?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
16	THE WITNESS: Possibly.
17	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
18	Q Was this e-mail consistent with matters
19	previously discussed between you and Danielle Jones,
20	now Alexander?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
22	THE WITNESS: So this answer
23	applies to this question, but I'd like to
24	also clarify the previous question.
25	So this last sentence, being that I



March 09, 2023

recall some discussions about talking 1 2 with them in some combination, whether 3 together, one-on-one, in terms of the 4 differences between, you know, the embedded GNETS program, the campus --5 on-campus versus standalone, and what 6 7 happened in instances where the child is 8 receiving services, Apex services, in the 9 GNETS program, returns to their home 10 school. And through some discussions and 11 12 agreement, I think we agreed that the 13 child becomes the client, and no matter where the child goes, if that was still 14 15 within that provider's catchment area, 16 then the provider would be permitted to follow that child, you know, back to --17 18 back to either the home school or, you 19 know, there was some recidivism, we did 20 not want to disrupt the services or the 21 progress that the child was making. 22 do vaguely remember having a discussion 23 about that. 24 And seeing it reads here, I 25 speculate that, based upon those



7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

discussions, that is why that is written
here. And if that is true, at some point
in time I had discussions with Ms. Jones
and with Ms. Fitzgerald about this.

BY MS. CHEVRIER:

O You referenced the last sentence of

Q You referenced the last sentence of this e-mail in your last answer, so I want to read it into the record. It states: The only -- and only is in all cap and bold -- the only instance Apex funds can be used for a GNETS student is if the student started at an Apex school and then was transferred into the GNETS program at their new school.

Is that a correct reading of the last sentence of the e-mail?

A Yes, that's correct.

Q Do you see the section in this e-mail which says Types of Schools, and refers to Apex schools on the left-hand side and non-Apex schools on the right-hand side?

A Can I clarify my last answer to the question?

Q Sure, of course.

A So you read the sentence and I agree with the sentence as you read it, but I don't agree with the -- with what the statement is saying, per se.



we're about

Becaus	se there	e are di	fference	es, as ]	I think	we're	about
to get	t into,	in term	s of the	e types	of scho	ools.	But,
yes,	I do se	e that s	ection.				

Q Can you describe what are the differences and what part of the sentence that I read into the record you disagree with?

MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. Outside the scope of the topic.

But you can answer.

THE WITNESS: So the sentence reads as: Apex funds can only be used for GNETS students if the student started at an Apex school and then transferred to a GNETS program at their new school.

That is incorrect. The -- the funds could be used, again, for students that were in a GNETS program served by Apex schools in a general setting, whether the program was embedded in the school or whether the program was on the campus. The complicating factor would be those standalone programs.

But if, say, for some reason a provider, in my opinion, served students that were being referred to a GNETS



1	standalone program, then those providers
2	were it was permissible, in our
3	opinion or my opinion, for that provider
4	to follow that student to that program to
5	continue those services and not just cut
6	that student off because they had been
7	referred to a GNETS program.
8	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
9	Q So it sounds like there are ways that a
LO	child could receive GNETS could receive Apex
L1	services at a GNETS school-based service at a
L2	GNETS school-based location outside of what is
L3	specified in the last sentence of this e-mail; is
L4	that correct?
L5	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
L6	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
L7	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L8	Q And you testified earlier that this
L9	e-mail went to a number of different providers; is
20	that correct?
21	A Yes, that's correct.
22	Q And it sounds like you disagree with one
23	of the sentences in this e-mail that was sent to
24	providers, correct?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



1	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
2	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
3	Q Was any clarification ever sent to
4	providers to tell them that there actually are
5	additional ways that they could serve GNETS students
6	at GNETS school-based locations?
7	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form,
8	outside the scope of the topic.
9	You can answer.
10	THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.
11	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
12	Q Thank you for scrolling up. Do you see
13	where it says, 1, Types of Schools, and then has a
14	chart which includes on the left-hand side Apex
15	schools and on the right-hand side non-Apex schools?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Do you see that Apex schools include
18	public schools with GNETS programs, dash, a school
19	supported by public funds but that has a GNETS class
20	within the school?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Is it fair to say that these are the
23	school-based GNETS programs that have partnerships
24	with Apex providers?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



1	THE WITNESS: Yes, I in that
2	category, I would include those with
3	classrooms embedded within a general
4	school building or buildings and include
5	those that may have a program on a in
6	a campus setting.
7	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
8	Q The right-hand side or Non-Apex Schools
9	column refers to GNETS standalone programs, correct?
LO	A Yes.
L1	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
L2	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L3	Q Ms. Jones defines this term in her e-mail
L4	as an education facility that only holds a GNETS
L5	program, correct?
L6	A Correct.
L7	Q And the line with the definition that
L8	we've been discussing previously of a GNETS center or
L9	GNETS standalone center, correct?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
21	THE WITNESS: Correct.
22	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
23	Q And Ms. Jones goes on to say: They do
24	not align with the Apex model of reaching students in
25	all three tiers of service?



1	A Correct.
2	Q The e-mail goes on to say that, GNETS
3	students, Apex funds are, in large, not allowed to be
4	used for GNETS students due to GNETS programs being
5	funded through a grant through the Georgia General
6	Assembly, correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And then it says a student would be,
9	quote, double dipping, quote, if they received both
LO	GNETS and Apex funds and this is not allowed,
L1	correct?
L2	A Correct.
L3	Q Who created that policy?
L4	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
L5	Outside the scope of the topic.
L6	You can answer.
L7	THE WITNESS: I do not agree that
L8	this is the policy.
L9	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
20	Q Who created this approach?
21	MS. JOHNSON: A same objection.
22	THE WITNESS: It would so in
23	keeping with our word usage, I would
24	prefer the word philosophy. But even
25	reading this, I don't know if this aligns



March 09, 2023 96

1 with our philosophy. I don't agree that 2 this aligns with our philosophy. 3 BY MS. CHEVRIER: Can you describe your philosophy? 4 5 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. The philosophy aligns 6 THE WITNESS: 7 with the -- what we previously discussed 8 in terms of the three-tier model, in 9 terms of, you know, school settings versus standalone programs. But reading 10 this statement, I think this statement 11 12 falls outside our philosophy and our 13 model. 14 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 15 So is it fair to say that students could, quote, double dip and receive both GNETS funds and 16 Apex funds? 17 18 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 19 BY MS. CHEVRIER: Receive services paid for by GNETS funds 20 21 and Apex funds? 22 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 23 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not saying 24 I am saying that this Georgia 25 General Assembly and this grant and, you



March 09, 2023

know, I guess mingling of funds from two 1 2 different programs just falls outside of 3 my knowledge. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 4 In what way did the Apex model rely on 5 reaching students in all three tiers of service? 6 7 Object to form. MS. JOHNSON: 8 THE WITNESS: In my 9 understanding -- understanding of the question, it would be embedding, making 10 clinicians available within school 11 12 settings, and so they would be available 13 to service students falling within one of 14 those three tiers. And would be called 15 upon to, you know, participate in various 16 activities in connection with their role, whether it was an in-service or school 17 assembly or school event, whether it was 18 19 a student that maybe began to act 20 differently or there were questions about whether there was a behavioral health 21 22 need, hopeful that that student would be 23 referred to that therapist. 24 And then the third tier, if there

was more record, a need for ongoing



March 09, 2023 98

1 services or through that after-instance 2 discovery, if you will, it was determined 3 that that student would benefit from 4 ongoing services, that the therapist 5 would be available to provide those services. 6 7 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 8 Why did Ms. Jones believe that 0 9 standalone -- that the standalone GNETS centers do 10 not align with the Apex model of reaching students in 11 all three tiers of services? 12 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 13 Outside the scope of the topic. 14 THE WITNESS: I don't know 15 specifically, but if -- if the sentence 16 was based on information that was shared with us in terms of the differences 17 18 between the programs, my speculation is 19 that is what she relied on to write this 20 sentence. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 21 22 And who provided that information that 0 23 you speculate Ms. Jones relied on? 24 MS. JOHNSON: Same objection. 25 THE WITNESS: I don't know the



1	answer to that.
2	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
3	Q The note goes on to say that GNETS
4	students are already receiving intensive therapeutic
5	services along were their educational piece, correct?
6	A Yes, that's what's stated.
7	Q What were the intensive therapeutic
8	services provided to GNETS students?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
LO	Outside the scope of the topic.
L1	You can answer.
L2	THE WITNESS: I do not know the
L3	answer to that question.
L4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L5	Q Did anyone from DBHDD examine the nature
L6	of the services?
L7	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
L8	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
L9	answer to that question.
20	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
21	Q Did anybody from DBHDD examine the scope
22	of such services provided in GNETS programs?
23	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
24	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
25	answer.



1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q Did the same requirement regarding the
3	licensing and certification of individuals providing
4	such services apply as are required under Medicaid or
5	other Georgia public insurance programs?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
7	THE WITNESS: I don't understand
8	the question. Can you clarify?
9	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
10	Q Sure. So the statement in this e-mail
11	says that GNETS students are already receiving
12	intensive therapeutic services along with their
13	education piece, and I'm curious whether it was
14	determined by DBHDD that they were receiving such
15	services from licensed and certified individuals that
16	provide the types of services that the Apex program
17	provides?
18	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
19	Outside the scope of the topic.
20	You can answer.
21	THE WITNESS. I don't know the

THE WITNESS: I don't know the answer to that question, but what I can tell you, a difference between Danielle and myself is she is a clinician. She was a former Apex provider and school



22

23

24

1	provider prior to coming to work for the
2	department, and she's a licensed
3	professional counselor.
4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
5	Q To your knowledge, did
6	A She has a different insight. She has a
7	different insight than I do in terms of therapeutic
8	services.
9	Q To your knowledge, did Danielle Jones
10	look into the types of services provided to GNETS
11	students?
12	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
13	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
14	answer to that.
15	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
16	Q We've already discussed the last
17	sentence, which reads: The only instance Apex funds
18	can be used for a GNETS student is if the student
19	started at an Apex school and then was transferred
20	into the GNETS program at their new school.
21	And I believe you've testified that that
22	is not your current understanding, correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q Was this an accurate statement of DBHDD's
25	philosophy or approach as of 10/17/2019 when this



1	e-mail was sent?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
3	Outside scope of the topic.
4	You can answer.
5	THE WITNESS: Not in my opinion,
6	because that statement contradicts line
7	two as it relates as it reads, the
8	GNETS standalone program. Those two
9	sentences are contradictory of one
LO	another. And the verbiage above, on that
L1	line two, under non-Apex Schools, aligns
L2	with what my understanding of our
L3	philosophy and approach.
L4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L5	Q Has there been any indication that the
L6	strike that.
L7	Since October 17th, 2019, when this
L8	e-mail was sent, has there been any clarification of
L9	the approach as you understand it to be correct?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
21	Outside the scope of the topic.
22	You can answer.
23	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
24	answer.
25	



1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q What is currently the approach of DBHDD
3	and the availability of Apex services to GNETS
4	services?
5	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
6	Outside scope of the topic.
7	You can answer.
8	THE WITNESS: If a school is school
9	is served by the Apex program and the
LO	school has a GNETS classroom or classroom
L1	setting or on a campus, then the
L2	philosophy or our approach would be that
L3	those services would be available to
L4	those GNETS-specific students, along with
L5	the general population.
L6	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L7	Q I'm going to ask my colleague to bring
L8	back up the FAQ which we've marked as Plaintiff's
L9	Exhibit 978.
20	Is it fair to say that the current
21	approach of DBHDD is that GNETS students have access
22	to Apex services to the extent that is provided in
23	this FAQ?
24	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.

THE WITNESS: Are you asking about



1	the section that reads: In which
2	schools in which type of schools can
3	Apex services be implemented?
4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
5	Q Yes.
6	A And can you restate the question?
7	Q Sure. You were sharing that you disagree
8	with the last sentence in the way that it interplays
9	with other sentences in the e-mail that we were going
10	through, and I asked what is the current DBHDD
11	approach to GNETS students having access to Apex
12	services.
13	I'm curious whether your answer is
14	aligned with what's provided in this FAQ so far as
15	the GNETS students at standalone facilities are not
16	able to receive Apex services, but that GNETS
17	students in school-based locations that have Apex
18	services already are able to provide are able to
19	receive Apex services?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah, my
22	understanding aligns with what's written
23	here, but I'll also state there would be
24	exceptions based upon what was listed in
25	that last document. So if a student was



1	enrolled in Apex services and for some
2	reason that student was transferred from
3	their home school to, say, a standalone
4	program, that provider would be permitted
5	to follow that school, because at that
6	point the child would be the client, to
7	continue those services.
8	Especially if I think the goal
9	was for the child to return back to their
10	home school. The clinician it would
11	be in that clinician's discretion. The
12	department does not have a policy that
13	says that's prohibited or a philosophy
14	that says if that child has been removed
15	from the home school, you cannot continue
16	to serve them. That would be an
17	exception.
18	But, as written, here this would be
19	our general philosophical approach.
20	MS. CHEVRIER: Thank you. I think
21	it might make sense to take a quick
22	just maybe five, seven-minute break.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
24	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off video
25	record, 12:06 p.m.



1	(Brief pause.)
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back
3	on video record, 12:14 p.m.
4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
5	Q Has there been any consideration about
6	whether to change the approach to allow Apex services
7	in standalone GNETS facilities?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
9	Outside scope of the topic.
10	You can answer.
11	THE WITNESS: We have not had any
12	discussions on that specific point.
13	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
14	Q And by we, does that mean your department
15	within within DBHDD?
16	A Correct. The program team, so myself,
17	Layla Fitzgerald, Danielle Jones Alexander, the new
18	addition, Ashuanni Straw, we haven't had any
19	discussions specific to making that change. In fact,
20	we've had very few discussions about if any,
21	related to GNETS, at all, because we did not want
22	or do not want to run afoul of this process.
23	Q Do you think GNETS students could benefit
24	from Apex services?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.



1	Outside scope of the topic.
2	You can answer.
3	THE WITNESS: Generally speaking,
4	those that are an environment that align
5	with the current model, yes, because they
6	would have the benefit of receiving all
7	of the interventions, treatment and
8	support that are available to all three
9	tiers. And
10	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
11	Q Does Apex go ahead.
12	A And then with the caveat again, if their
13	needs or diagnosis are mental health of nature.
14	There are behavioral health disorders that exceed the
15	ability of the Apex intervention. So for any of my
16	answers, I would like to, you know, make that known,
17	that my comments are thinking about diagnoses and
18	needs that are mental health in nature.
19	Q You just used the phrase, run afoul of
20	this process. What do you mean by that?
21	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
22	THE WITNESS: So there is an actual
23	lawsuit between Department of Justice and
24	State of Georgia related to the GNETS
25	program. I mean, it's an active lawsuit



1	that individuals can be called to
2	testify, be deposed, so on and so forth.
3	And so we do not know all the parameters
4	to that and so we don't want to run afoul
5	of this process.
6	For example, you started the line
7	of questioning today to ask have I talked
8	to anybody about GNETS or being deposed.
9	And so to not run afoul of a lawsuit, we
10	do not talk about GNETS.
11	BY MS. CHEVRIER:

#### BY MS. CHEVRIER:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Does the Apex program provide mental 0 health services during the summer?

Some providers do, yes, but they have an ability in their fund requests to have some -- to ask for support for summer programming. And our thought behind that is, if the student is making progress throughout the school year, we don't want to disrupt that over the summer. But there are various factors for rural Georgia, that sort of thing.

Some of our providers also operate youth mental health facilities, they support Clubhouses, so things like the Boys and Girls Club that are therapeutic in nature. And so some of those providers that have both Apex and Clubhouse may shift



1	their case load to the Clubhouse over the summer.
2	But those who don't do have an opportunity to include
3	in their add subject to availability of budget,
4	but do have an opportunity to say, we would like to
5	do X programming during the summer, it would cost X
6	dollars. And if the department is able to fund that
7	or a portion of it, we will review and approve.
8	Q Is it important to continue services
9	through the summer for students with emotional
10	behavioral disabilities?
11	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
12	Outside the scope of the topic.
13	You can answer.
14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
15	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
16	Q I'm going to ask my colleague to bring up
17	what we've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 978, the FAQ
18	that we've been discussing. I'm going to ask you to
19	please scroll to the question that asks: Who can
20	provide the Apex services within the school setting?
21	A Do you know if that's up or down? Am I
22	headed in the right direction?
23	Q I think it's down, but do you see
24	where it says: Who can provide the Apex services
25	within a school setting?



A Yes.

Q Do you see the answer that reads, quote: Individual and group therapy services should only --only is in all caps -- be provided by a licensed clinician (LPSW, LPC, LMFT), associate/provisionally licensed clinician (APC, LMSW, LAMFT) and/or a Master's degree level clinician seeking licensure in accordance with the rules governing their practice. Paraprofessionals can provide community support services such as life/social skills and assist with prevention programs/activities and assisting students/families with nonclinical concerns.

A Yes.

Q Why was it determined that Apex individual and group therapy services should only be provided by a licensed clinician, provisionally licensed clinician or Master's degree level clinician seeking licensure?

A Because there is a workforce shortage in Georgia, across the country, in terms of behavioral health clinicians, and at some point we learned that some of our provider networks were using interns that were unsupervised, which does not align with practice or with the model. And so where we learned about that, we stopped it.

1	And in this FAQ we wanted to take the
2	opportunity to clarify that the rules for Medicaid
3	allow also provisionally licensed or associate
4	licensed individuals that are supervised, that are
5	working under the guidance of a supervisor that has
6	the supervisor certification, towards full licensure.
7	They have to get so many hours in order to gain full
8	licensure. Interns or Master level interns that are
9	working to complete their degree programs can also
10	work under an individual, a supervisor with the
11	supervisor credentials, but they cannot work alone.
12	And we learned about it and we worked to
13	stop it. So that is what this is trying to address.
14	Q Who was involved in the decision that
15	Apex individual and group therapy services should
16	only be provided by a licensed clinician,
17	provisionally licensed clinician or a Master's degree
18	level clinician seeking licensure?
19	A This is determined by the the rules
20	governing the practice of these professions. So the
21	Georgia Composite Board I don't know the name
22	specifically, but there's a body within Georgia that
23	sets policy and guidelines for who can do what in
24	this field, and this is meant to align with that.

Are the licensing criteria for all



Q

25

March 09, 2023 112

1 Medicaid and public insurance mental health services 2 for children and adolescents set forth in the DBHDD 3 coverage manual? MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 4 5 Outside the scope of the question. You can answer -- or outside the scope of the 6 7 topic. 8 You can answer. 9 THE WITNESS: I don't know the 10 answer to that. My -- from what I'm familiar with, the provider manual would 11 12 point to the licensing board -- the 13 governing board. 14 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 15 Does this statement regarding the qualifications of Apex individual and group therapy 16 services apply to all publicly insured services 17 provided in Georgia? 18 19 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 20 THE WITNESS: I don't know the 21 answer. 22 BY MS. CHEVRIER: 23 Why is it important for individual and 24 group therapy sessions to be provided by 25 appropriately licensed professionals?



MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Because that's 3 required by req. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 4 5 Is there any other reason why it's important other than following regulations? 6 7 MS. JOHNSON: Object to form. 8 THE WITNESS: I don't know the 9 answer to that for -- in my opinion, for 10 us, it would be important for us to be 11 aligned with regulatory authority. BY MS. CHEVRIER: 12 13 Did these licensure requirements align 14 with DBHDD's standards for non-Apex services? 15 MS. JOHNSON: Object to the form. 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know the 17 answer to that, your question per se, but 18 what I do know the answer to is that, in 19 the public payor state, so Medicaid 20 individuals that are working towards full 21 licensure are able to work in these 22 settings, whereas in -- for private 23 insurance, they are not. Private 24 insurance requires full licensure. 25 So the rules are more lenient as it



1	relates to the Medicaid benefits, which
2	is helpful when navigating a workforce
3	shortage.
4	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
5	Q Is there any guidance from the substance
6	abuse and mental health services administration or
7	any other places that require licensed professionals?
8	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
9	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
10	answer to that.
11	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
12	Q Are you aware that Layla Fitzgerald
13	informed the Office of Whole Child that, as the
14	liaison between GaDOE and DBHDD, that she does not
15	work on anything related to GNETS?
16	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
17	Outside the scope of the topics.
18	You can answer.
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
20	answer to that.
21	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
22	Q Is it your understanding that Layla
23	Fitzgerald does not work on anything related to
24	GNETS?
25	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.



1	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
2	Q In her liaison capacity?
3	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
4	THE WITNESS: Can you restate the
5	question?
6	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
7	Q Is it your understanding that Layla
8	Fitzgerald does not work on anything related to GNETS
9	in her liaison capacity with GaDOE?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
11	THE WITNESS: Generally speaking,
12	yes, that's my understanding. From what
13	I know, GNETS is not a part of the scope
14	of the office where she serves as a
15	liaison.
16	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
17	Q You testified earlier that Danielle Jones
18	or Danielle Jones Alexander also now serves in this
19	liaison capacity; is that correct?
20	A It's a narrow narrower sorry
21	scope, but yes. Layla the difference between the
22	two is that Layla has a broader scope of work and
23	Danielle's focus is specific to Project Aware, the
24	current Project Aware grant that GaDOE has from
25	SAMHSA.



1	Q Is it fair to say that Danielle Alexander
2	also does not work with anything related to the GNETS
3	program in her capacity as liaison with GaDOE?
4	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
5	Outside the scope of the topic.
6	You can answer.
7	THE WITNESS: This one I'm a little
8	less sure about, because that office has
9	recently gone through a reorg and
LO	Danielle has been brought into that
L1	office. Previously, the work of Project
L2	Aware was in a different area, and I
L3	don't know if that area and its scope of
L4	work included GNETS.
L5	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
L6	Q We have not seen an Apex evaluation for
L7	school year '21 to '22 or '22 to '23. Have
_8	evaluations been prepared for those years?
L9	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
20	Outside scope of the topics.
21	You can answer.
22	THE WITNESS: Can you restate the
23	year?
24	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
5	O School wears 121 to 122 and 122 to 123



A So not for the latter. So not '22 to
'23. For the previous school year, yes. Typically
the time line for that is a full school year of data.
School year ending generally in May of each year, the
evaluation team reviewing and sorting the data,
preliminary drafts may be available around August.
And then finalization sometime between August and
October following the close of the school year.

So the current school year data wouldn't be available until, you know, middle of the fall, but the last school year evaluation has been completed and should be public on the Georgia State

Interventional website, who is the evaluator of the Apex program.

MS. CHEVRIER: I'd like to request on the record that we be provided with the Apex evaluations for school year '21 to '22 that Mr. McKay just testified is available.

MS. JOHNSON: We can discuss that. BY MS. CHEVRIER:

Q You mentioned previously that regular meetings with -- that there were regular meetings with the State GNETS director, correct?

A Correct.



1	Q When did those meetings take place?
2	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
3	Outside scope of the topic.
4	You can answer.
5	THE WITNESS: Over the course of a
6	couple of years, maybe the frequency was
7	every other month or quarterly. I don't
8	remember exactly. But they stopped
9	sometime during the pandemic and they
10	have not resumed.
11	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
12	Q Were you the senior officer of DBHDD who
13	participated in those meetings?
14	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
15	THE WITNESS: I likely was the
16	senior office that participated in some
17	of the meetings or the majority of the
18	meetings, but I may not have been the
19	senior officer that participated in all
20	of the meetings. I do think early on
21	there were meetings prior to my
22	involvement that may have included our
23	commissioner or deputy commissioner and
24	other senior kind of what we call
25	enterprise level officers from GaDOE.



1	At some point I was brought into
2	those and those became my meetings to
3	coordinate with the State level GNETS
4	director.
5	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
6	Q Was the sequence initially Commissioner
7	Fitzgerald, Monica Johnson and yourself were
8	involved?
9	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know the
11	answer to that.
12	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
13	Q Is it correct that Commissioner
14	Fitzgerald dropped off and no longer participated?
15	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.
17	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
18	Q And then Monica Johnson also dropped off
19	and no longer participated?
20	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
21	THE WITNESS: I want to clarify my
22	answer to the last question and to this
23	question.
24	Commissioner Fitzgerald and Monica
25	and myself may have participated in one



1	or two meetings together. How many
2	meetings they participated in related to
3	GNETS prior to my participation or
4	outside of my participation I'm unclear
5	of. And so I'm unsure about the usage of
6	the term drop off.
7	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
8	Q Sure. I was just I was using drop off
9	to mean that they no longer participated in those
LO	meetings.
L1	So is it correct that there was a time,
L2	it sounds like, all three of you participated and
L3	then there was ultimately a time when you were the
L4	only DBHDD senior officer participating in these
L5	meetings?
L6	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
L7	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
L8	Yes, that's correct.
L9	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
20	Q And those are the meetings you referred
21	to as the regular meetings with the State GNETS
22	director?
23	A Yes, that's correct.
24	Q And did you meet with Vickie Cleveland
25	every month for a while?



1	MS. JOHNSON: Object to form.
2	Outside the scope of the topics.
3	You can answer.
4	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The frequency
5	was maybe every other month or quarterly.
6	BY MS. CHEVRIER:
7	Q And you said that they dropped off at
8	some point during the pandemic. Did they drop off
9	due to the pandemic or for a different reason?
10	MS. JOHNSON: Same objection.
11	THE WITNESS: It could have been
12	related to the pandemic, but also I think
13	the the change and litigation related
14	to this case may have also had an impact
15	on it. For reasons similar to internal
16	discussions, limiting those as related to
17	GNETS because we were under in
18	litigation, active litigation, may have
19	also had an impact as to why the meetings
20	with the State level GNETS director and
21	myself ceased to happen.
22	MS. CHEVRIER: Excellent. No
23	further questions from me. Thank you
24	very much for your time.
25	MS. JOHNSON: And I don't have any



March 09, 2023 122

1 questions. Thank you for your time 2 today. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And I understand 4 5 that we have standing orders on this? 6 MS. CHEVRIER: Yes. 7 MS. JOHNSON: I don't think the 8 State has a standing order. We don't 9 need a copy of the video, but we request an e-tran, and we'll read and sign. 10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes 11 today's videotaped deposition. The time 12 13 is 12:36 p.m. Going off the record now. 14 15 (Thereupon, the deposition was 16 concluded at approximately 12:36 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



2.0

#### DISCLOSURE

The following representations and disclosures are made in compliance with Georgia Law, more specifically:

Article 10(B) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Court Reporting (disclosure forms).

OCGA 9-11-28(c (disqualification of reporter for financial interest). OCGA 15-14-37(a) and (b) (prohibitions against contracts except on a case-by-case basis.)

I am a certified court reporter in the State of Georgia. I am a subcontractor for Esquire Deposition Solutions. I have been assigned to make a complete and accurate record of these proceedings.

I have no relationship of interest in the matter on which I am about to report which would disqualify me from making a verbatim record or maintaining my obligation of impartiality in compliance with the Code of Professional Ethics.

I have no direct contract with any party in this action and my compensation is determined solely by the terms of my subcontractor agreement.

This 21st day of March, 2023.

Tanya L. Verhoven

Tanya L. Verhoven-Page, B-1790.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF GEORGIA:
4	FULTON COUNTY:
5	
6	I hereby certify that the foregoing
7	deposition was reported, as stated in the
8	caption, and the questions and answers
9	thereto were reduced to written page
10	under my direction, that the preceding
11	pages represent a true and correct
12	transcript of the evidence given by said
13	witness.
14	I further certify that I am not of
15	kin or counsel to the parties in the
16	case, am not in the regular employ of
17	counsel for any of said parties, nor am I
18	in any way financially interested in the
19	result of said case.
20	Dated this 21st day of March, 2023.
21	of of To c
22	Tanya L. Verhoven  Tanya L. Verhoven-Page,
23	Certified Court Reporter, B-1790.
24	T 1/50.
25	



1	ESQUIRE ERRATA SHEET
2	
3	
4	Esquire Job ID: J9414077
5	Case Caption: USA v. State of Georgia
6	
7	
8	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
9	
10	I declare under penalty of perjury that I
11	have read the entire transcript of my deposition
12	taken in the above-captioned matter or the same has
13	been read to me, and the same is true and accurate,
14	save and except for changes and/or corrections, if
15	any, as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA
16	SHEET hereof, with the understanding that I offer
17	these changes as if still under oath.
18	Signed on thisday of
19	, 2023.
20	
21	
22	
23	DANTE MCKAY
24	DANIE MCKAI
25	



Case 1:16-cv-03088-ELR Document 451-1 Filed 12/05/23 Page 127 of 158

DANTE MCKAY UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA

1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET							
2			CORREC	TIONS				
3	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
4								
5	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
6			_					
7	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
8								
9	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
10								
11	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
12			_					
13	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
14			_					
15	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
16								
17	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
18								
19	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
20								
21	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
22					D			
23	Pg.	Ln.	Now Reads	Should Read	Reason			
24		_	_					
25								



1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET							
2				CORREC'	TIONS			
3	Pg.	Ln.	Now	Reads	Should	Read	Reason	
5				Reads				
6								
7	Pg.	Ln.	Now	Reads	Should	Read	Reason	
8								
9	Pg.	Ln.	Now	Reads	Should	Read	Reason	
10			_					
11	Pg.	Ln.	Now	Reads	Should	Read	Reason	
12								
13	Pg.	Ln.	Now	Reads	Should	Read	Reason	
14			_					
15	Pg.	Ln.	Now	Reads	Should	Read	Reason	
16								
17	Pg.	Ln.	Now	Reads	Should	Read	Reason	
18								
19								
20								
21				Sign	ature oi	f Depone:	nt	
22	SUBSC	CRIBED	AND S	SWORN BEFORE	ME			
23	This	the	da	ay of		, 202	3.	
24								
25	(Nota	ry Puk	olic)	My Com	mission	Expires	:	
		-		-		_		



	72:4,21	52:1	117:2	
Exhibits	85:16	53:2,3		8
EXIIIDICS	93:13	65:20	24	
		66:21	11:10	
9414077 Dan	1.0	72:4,17		8.4
te.McKay.	56:15		3	57:18
PREV.	58:5,11	2,200		870
MARKED.	85:6,20,	39:24	_	80:16,20
EXHIBIT870	22	2.0	3	,
5 <b>:</b> 5	10/17/2019	56:15	35:11,15	-
80:16,20	101:25	57:23	51:17,25	9
9414077 Dan		58:11	52:4 53:5	
te.McKay.	100	79:11	56:15	9
PREV.	34:5	85:13,21,	65:21	6:1
MARKED.	83:13	22	66:21	
EXHIBIT965	10:02	22	67:2	965
5:6 12:4,	6:10	2007	72:3,21	12:4,8
•		17:14	73:19	978
8	12:06	2011	3.0	55:13,15
9414077 Dan	105:25	17:21,23	55:20	103:19
te.McKay.	12:14	-	56:4,5,6,	109:17
EXHIBIT978	106:3	2015		
4:12		33:17	8,20	9:02
55:13,15	12:36	2016	57:19	6:2,8
103:19	122:13,16	14:10	58:17,18,	9th
109:17	16th	14:10	22	6:8
	14:10	2019	30(b)(6)	0.0
	14.10	80:22	12:17	
\$	17th	81:24	13:1	A
	80:22	102:17		
\$69	102:17	2022		a.m.
57:13	18	2022	5	
		27:24		6:2,8,10
	13:8,11	28:13	50	ability
0	180	2023	27:11	8:24
	39:2	6:1,8		10:18
0	19	12:18		54:14
56:15			6	107:15
50.15	13:9,11	21		108:15
	1st	27:21	69	
1	12:18	116:17,25	57:17	abuse
		117:17	J , • ± ,	114:6
1		22		Academy
35:8	2	116:17,25	7	39:3
38:18		117:1,18		82:24
30:10	2		700	83:19
57.10		23	,	<del></del>
57:18 65:19	35:8	116:17,25	39:24	access



March 09, 2023

Index: \$69..access

31:16 10:7 Adrienne agreed 32:6,18, 34:12 14:12 69:19 25 33:7, 86:24 Adults 83:15 21 46:14 109:3 13:17,24	
25 33:7, 86:24 Adults 83:15 21 46:14 109:3 13:17,24	65:13
21 46:14 109:3 Adults 13:17,24 89:12	74:17
21 46:14 109:3 Adults 13:17,24 89:12	104:14
72.11	113:11
1 103.21	ligning
103.21 106:18 83:24 89:12 104:11	69:25
	ligns
10:5 66:23 9:18	13:12
22:23 27:23 16:15	31:10
Accordance 68:18 19:7	95:25
110:8 93:5 72:12 38:15	96:2,6
affecting 54:6	102:11
accurate address 8:24 56:11	104:22
69:5,8 30:15	
80:14 34:13 <b>alliliacion</b> 75:10 25	llowed
101:24 35:4 0.21	84:1
accurately 85:17 afoul 107.11	95:3,10
8:19 111:13 106:22 A	merica
$62 \cdot 2.16$ addressed $107 \cdot 19$ aid	6:13
63:2 34:10 108:4.9 24:12	
Alexander	mount
achieve addresses after-	56:25
44:2 84:21,23 Instance	nd/or
achieving addressing 98:1 26:19 27:20	110:6
44.1 20.3 age 01.13.14	
30:12 50:20 88:20	nnual
acronyms	47:4,12
10:23 Adell agencies 106:17	82:23,25
15:2,3 25:17,20 115:18	84:10
	nnualized
29:14 110.1	
97:19 <b>adjustments</b> 29:14 31:10 <b>align</b>	
97:19 adjustments 29:14 31:10 align active 36:18,25 50:19	56:25
97:19 adjustments 29:14 31:10 align active 36:18,25 50:19	
97:19 adjustments 29:14 31:10 align active 36:18,25 50:19 17:18 ion 66:17:10	56:25
97:19 adjustments 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18	56:25 innualizing 58:20
97:19 adjustments 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18 agency 66:22 a 18:3 74:21	56:25  nnualizing 58:20  nnually
97:19 adjustments 29:14 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18 activities 18:3 74:21 agendas 74:21	56:25 innualizing 58:20
97:19 adjustments 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18 activities 17:9,16 36:2 70:7 66:22 a 18:3 74:21 97:16 84:16 84:16	56:25  nnualizing 58:20  nnually 48:4  nswering
97:19 adjustments 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18 26:19 114:6 agendas 75:13 agendas administrat administrat administrat 84:16 94:24 agendas administrat administrat 84:16 94:24 agendas administrat administrat 31:10 align 36:18.19 50:19 64:24 agendas 75:13 agendas 74:21 agendas 75:13 a	56:25 innualizing 58:20 innually 48:4
97:19 adjustments 29:14 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18 36:2 70:7 66:22 a 18:3 74:21 97:16 actual administrat or ages 98:10 107:22	56:25  nnualizing 58:20  nnually 48:4  nswering
97:19 adjustments 31:10 align active 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18 activities 17:9,16 36:2 70:7 66:22 a 56:19 114:6 agendas 75:13 97:16 actual administrat or ages 98:10 107:22 18:4 50:20 107:4	56:25  Innualizing 58:20  Innually 48:4  Inswering 9:6,24
97:19 adjustments 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18 36:2 70:7 66:22 a 18:3 74:21 97:16 actual administrat or ages 98:10 107:22 18:4 50:20 107:4 acuity Adolescent agree 110:1	56:25  Innualizing 58:20  Innually 48:4  Inswering 9:6,24 37:17 50:2
97:19 adjustments 29:14 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 17:9,16 activities 56:19 97:16 114:6 actual 107:22 18:4 acuity 52:3 75:6 Adolescent 29:14 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 64:24 a agency 65:17,18 36:2 70:7 66:22 a agendas 74:21 94:24 agendas 94:24 agendas 98:10 107:4 110:23 111:24 agree 110:1	56:25  Innualizing 58:20  Innually 48:4  Inswering 9:6,24 37:17 50:2
97:19       adjustments       29:14       31:10       align       active       align       active       active       36:18,25       50:19       50:19       active       active       active       57:9       64:24       active	56:25  Innualizing 58:20  Innually 48:4  Inswering 9:6,24 37:17 50:2  Inswers 8:15,19
97:19 adjustments 21:24 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18  activities 17:9,16 36:2 70:7 66:22 a 18:3 74:21 97:16 administrat or agendas 75:13 actual 107:22 18:4 50:20 107:4 acuity 52:3 75:6 Adolescent 50:3 28:19 ad adolescents 90:23.24 aligned	56:25  Innualizing 58:20  Innually 48:4  Inswering 9:6,24 37:17 50:2  Inswers 8:15,19 59:17
97:19 adjustments 21:24 31:10 align 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 a 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18  activities 17:9,16 36:2 70:7 66:22 a 18:3 74:21 97:16 administrat or agendas 75:13 actual or ages 98:10 107:22 18:4 50:20 107:4 acuity 52:3 75:6 Adolescent agree 111:24 acuity 50:3 28:19 113:13 ad adolescents 48:19 95:17 56:6	56:25  Innualizing 58:20  Innually 48:4  Inswering 9:6,24 37:17 50:2  Inswers 8:15,19 59:17 60:4
97:19 adjustments 21:24 31:10 36:18,25 50:19 107:25 administrat 57:9 64:24 121:18 ion agency 65:17,18  17:9,16 36:2 70:7 66:22 a 18:3 74:21 14:6 actual 97:16 actual 107:22 18:4 50:20 18:4 50:20 107:4 acuity 52:3 75:6 Adolescent 50:3 28:19 45:25 90:23,24 aligned	56:25  Innualizing 58:20  Innually 48:4  Inswering 9:6,24 37:17 50:2  Inswers 8:15,19 59:17



March 09, 2023

Index: accident..answers

5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	29:21 40:6 62:12 65:13 95:20 101:25 102:13,19 103:2,12, 21 104:11 105:19 106:6  approaches 86:5  appropriate ly 54:19 112:25  approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8  approve 33:3 66:4	areas     13:15     83:23  arise     34:1  Ashley     15:22  Ashuanni     16:1,2     106:18  asks     61:19     109:19  assembly     38:4 50:9     56:18     95:6     96:25     97:18  assessment     37:23,25  assist	attach 83:18  attachment 12:19 13:8  attend 84:5  attorney 7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit y 103:3 109:3  avenue 79:4
5:16 6:2,7,19 7:7 8:13,22 9:3,10 1:23 4:10,11, 5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	62:12 65:13 95:20 101:25 102:13,19 103:2,12, 21 104:11 105:19 106:6 approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	83:23  arise     34:1  Ashley     15:22  Ashuanni     16:1,2     106:18  asks     61:19     109:19  assembly     38:4 50:9     56:18     95:6     96:25     97:18  assessment     37:23,25	attachment     12:19     13:8  attend     84:5  attorney     7:17 8:1     9:14  August     117:6,7  authority     23:13     113:11  availabilit y     103:3     109:3  avenue
6:2,7,19 7:7 8:13,22 9:3,10 1:23 4:10,11, 5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	65:13 95:20 101:25 102:13,19 103:2,12, 21 104:11 105:19 106:6 approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	arise     34:1  Ashley     15:22  Ashuanni     16:1,2     106:18  asks     61:19     109:19  assembly     38:4 50:9     56:18     95:6     96:25     97:18  assessment     37:23,25	12:19 13:8  attend 84:5  attorney 7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit y 103:3 109:3  avenue
7:7 8:13,22 9:3,10 1:23 4:10,11, 5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	95:20 101:25 102:13,19 103:2,12, 21 104:11 105:19 106:6 approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	34:1  Ashley 15:22  Ashuanni 16:1,2 106:18  asks 61:19 109:19  assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18  assessment 37:23,25	12:19 13:8  attend 84:5  attorney 7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit y 103:3 109:3  avenue
8:13,22 9:3,10 1:23 4:10,11, 5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	101:25 102:13,19 103:2,12, 21 104:11 105:19 106:6 approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	34:1  Ashley 15:22  Ashuanni 16:1,2 106:18  asks 61:19 109:19  assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18  assessment 37:23,25	13:8  attend 84:5  attorney 7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit y 103:3 109:3  avenue
9:3,10 1:23 4:10,11, 5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	102:13,19 103:2,12, 21 104:11 105:19 106:6  approaches 86:5  appropriate ly 54:19 112:25  approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8  approve	Ashley 15:22 Ashuanni 16:1,2 106:18 asks 61:19 109:19 assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	attend 84:5 attorney 7:17 8:1 9:14 August 117:6,7 authority 23:13 113:11 availabilit y 103:3 109:3 avenue
1:23 4:10,11, 5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	103:2,12, 21 104:11 105:19 106:6 approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	15:22  Ashuanni     16:1,2     106:18  asks     61:19     109:19  assembly     38:4 50:9     56:18     95:6     96:25     97:18  assessment     37:23,25	84:5  attorney 7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit y 103:3 109:3  avenue
4:10,11, 5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	21 104:11 105:19 106:6 approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	Ashuanni     16:1,2     106:18  asks     61:19     109:19  assembly     38:4 50:9     56:18     95:6     96:25     97:18  assessment     37:23,25	attorney 7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit y 103:3 109:3  avenue
5 85:6, ,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	105:19 106:6 approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	16:1,2 106:18 asks 61:19 109:19 assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit  y 103:3 109:3  avenue
,13,16 6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	106:6  approaches 86:5  appropriate ly 54:19 112:25  approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8  approve	16:1,2 106:18 asks 61:19 109:19 assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	7:17 8:1 9:14  August 117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit  y 103:3 109:3  avenue
6:1,4 9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	approaches 86:5 appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	106:18  asks 61:19 109:19  assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18  assessment 37:23,25	9:14  August     117:6,7  authority     23:13     113:11  availabilit y     103:3     109:3  avenue
9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	86:5  appropriate ly 54:19 112:25  approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8  approve	asks 61:19 109:19  assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18  assessment 37:23,25	August 117:6,7 authority 23:13 113:11 availabilit y 103:3 109:3 avenue
9:8 0:9,11, 7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	86:5  appropriate ly 54:19 112:25  approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8  approve	61:19 109:19 assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	117:6,7  authority 23:13 113:11  availabilit y 103:3 109:3  avenue
7 91:11, 3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	appropriate ly 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	109:19  assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18  assessment 37:23,25	authority 23:13 113:11 availabilit y 103:3 109:3 avenue
3,18 2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	1y 54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	assembly 38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18  assessment 37:23,25	23:13 113:11 availabilit y 103:3 109:3 avenue
2:10 3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	54:19 112:25 approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	23:13 113:11 availabilit y 103:3 109:3 avenue
3:14,17, 4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	112:25  approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8  approve	38:4 50:9 56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	<pre>availabilit y     103:3     109:3 avenue</pre>
4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	approval 60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	56:18 95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	availabilit y 103:3 109:3 avenue
4 94:24 5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	95:6 96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	y 103:3 109:3 avenue
5:3,10 6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	60:7,10, 14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	96:25 97:18 assessment 37:23,25	103:3 109:3 avenue
6:17,21 7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19	14,24 65:25 66:3,8 approve	97:18  assessment 37:23,25	109:3 avenue
7:5 8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	65:25 66:3,8 approve	assessment 37:23,25	avenue
8:10 00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	66:3,8 <b>approve</b>	37:23,25	
00:16,25 01:17,19 03:3,9,	approve		
01:17,19 03:3,9,		assist	, , , ,
03:3,9,	33:3 66:4		
		110:10	avoid
2 104:3,	109:7		9:3
	approved	assisting	aware
9 105:1	16:11	110:11	24:17
06:6,24	34:8	associate	25:22
07:11,15	35:21	111:3	26:20
08:12,25	48:21		28:14
09:20,24	61:15	associate/	44:9
10:14	66:5,9	provisional	66:10
11:15	70:17,18	ly	69:15
	70:17,10	110:5	79:9
	approving	assume	80:11
	59:14	23:4 55:5	114:12
	approximate	71:9	115:23,24
rres			116:12
8:23	_		
ly	122,IV		awareness
1:21	area		79:15
00:4	80:4		80:10
	89:15	65:20	
12:17			
12:17 roach	116:12,13	ATLANTA	
	12:16 16:16 17:14,17 <b>lies</b> 8:23 <b>ly</b> 1:21	12:16 16:16 approving 17:14,17 59:14  lies approximate 1y 122:16  ly 1:21 area 80:4	12:16 16:16 16:16 17:14,17 19:5  1ies 19:14 23:4 55:5 71:9 11es 19 12:16 35:9 19 1:21 10:5  10:5 10:5 10:5 10:5 10:5 10:5 10



March 09, 2023

Index: APC..awareness

	17:11	benefits	16:5	called
В	began	72:18	broader	7:10 64:5
	97:19	114:1	38:9	67:18
1-	97:19	h /		97:14
oack	beginning	big	115:22	108:1
15:20	20:19	83:16	broadly	
48:3 78:4	1	bill	23:24	campus
83:3	begins	49:16,23	24:2	65:9,15
89:17,18	6:11			73:9 89:5
103:18	behavior	bit	brought	91:21
105:9	52:1 55:1	32:14	82:17	94:6
106:2		blanking	116:10	103:11
oackground	behavioral	16:1	119:1	cancelled
17:24	13:17	68:10	budget	21:25
17:24	14:8,13,		39:19	21.23
parrier	17 23:6,	Blue	48:20	cap
32:25	10,12	72:11,12	50:3	90:9
parriers	25:15	Bluetooth	109:3	capacity
	29:15	34:19	109:3	
32:19	37:23,25	34.19	budgets	115:2,9,
33:24	38:17	board	48:22	19 116:3
Baruch	50:25	12:1	84:3	caps
17:17	52:11	38:8,12		110:4
_	54:18	111:21	build	
pased	55:4,6,7	112:12,13	27:4	capture
11:15	72:19	1 1	building	8:19
28:10	83:1,20	body	64:22	55:19,23,
29:25	87:11	111:22	69:25	24
30:13	97:21	bold	78:1 94:4	car
36:16	107:14	90:9		22:23
53:3,18	107:14		buildings	22.25
74:20		bottom	94:4	care
75:12	110:20	55:24	Burk	24:4
79:12	behaviors	Boys	14:24	29:20
84:17	52:11	108:23	16:5	32:19
89:25	53:10,14,		TO:0	33:23
98:16	22,24	break	busy	39:1
104:24	54:2,12	9:21,25	21:23	49:19
		105:22		82:24
oases	belief	bring		83:19
55:6	31:12	12:10	C	
oasically	benefit	55:18		case
8:2 41:10	33:10		calendar	15:17
	54:14	103:17	21:24	19:3
oasis	73:10	109:16		21:14
13:14	98:3	bringing	call	33:5
23:20		19:4	82:23	34:12
Baton	106:23 107:6	Brittany	85:13	37:12
	1.71.7.4.6-	The side Laboratory	118:24	



March 09, 2023

Index: back..case

NITED STATES	S vs STATE OF GI	=URGIA	Inde	ex: casesClayt
121:14	121:13	53:19	106:4,13	83:24
cases	changed	54:5,24	107:10	112:2
29:18	26:5,14,	55:11,17	108:11	CHRIS
50:18	15 28:10	57:21	109:15	39:2
	47:22,23	58:4,9,24	112:14,22	
catchment	81:10	59:6,22	113:4,12	City
80:4		60:9	114:4,11,	17:17
89:15	charged	61:11	21 115:1,	Civil
category	29:17	63:1,6,	6,16	7:18
15:12	chart	16,23	116:15,24	
94:2	93:14	65:23	117:15,21	Claire
		66:11,24	118:11	6:23 7:16
caveat	charter	67:9,22	119:5,12,	clarificati
107:12	61:23	68:13	17 120:7,	on
ceased	62:18	69:1	19 121:6,	93:3
121:21	Chevrier	70:8,23	22 122:6	102:18
	6:23	71:5,13	-h-11-d	
center	7:14,16	72:1	child	clarify
11:17	12:6	73:1,12,	26:18	9:12
17:11	18:11	22 74:5	30:20,25	18:14
94:18,19	24:10,16,	75:7,22	35:16	21:11
centers	22 25:4,	76:17	48:19	30:7 41:3
11:17	21 26:4,	77:1,6	50:3 70:5	45:10
70:13	12 27:5,	78:6,11,	72:9,11,	49:21
71:15,19	12,27:5,	20 79:1,	16,17	52:6
73:15,18		20 80:7,	76:14	57:17
78:23	28:12	18 82:2	77:22	62:13
98:9	29:1,9,24	84:8,19	89:7,13,	68:5 71:8
90:9	30:9,23	85:4,24	14,17,21	76:1
certificati	31:14,23	86:14,21	92:10	88:24
on	32:5	87:6,19,	105:6,9,	90:20
100:3	33:12	24 88:5,	14 114:13	100:8
111:6	34:17,21,	17 90:5	child's	111:2
certified	24 36:1,8	92:8,17	41:21,23	119:21
15:7	40:14	93:2,11	·	-1
100:15	41:2,19	94:7,12,	child-	class
100.13	42:5,11	22 95:19	serving	93:19
cetera	43:1	96:3,14,	25:17,20	classroom
18:22	44:8,17		29:14	77:19,23
champion	45:2	19 97:4	children	78:2
43:17,22	46:5,11,	98:7,21	13:16,23	103:10
TJ. 11, 44	20 47:3,	99:2,14,	29:16,22	al . a
chance	9,17	20 100:1,	34:5	classrooms
75:5	48:13	9 101:4,	46:14	76:19
change	50:1,11,	15 102:14	47:1	77:8 94:3
28:14	22 51:4,	103:1,16	50:16	Clayton
	13 52:24	104:4		79:13
106:6,19		105:20	81:22	



# DANTE MCKAY UNITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA Index: Cleveland..contradictory

ONITEDOTATES	, 13 01/11 01	0201101/1	IIIGGA. GIGVEI	andcontradictory
80:5	cocounsel	118:23	complete	connection
Cleveland	7:4	119:6,13,	111:9	18:13
68:15	Cohen	24	completed	57:2,22
120:24	7:1	commitment	- 117:11	58:5
client	cohort	42:18	completely	97:16
89:13	83:10	43:4	8:5 10:3	considerati
105:6		44:10		on
	collaborati	communicate	complex	106:5
clinic	on	20:21	64:4	consistent
37:8	26:5	23:19	complicate	28:5
clinical	27:24		54:14	87:25
14:23	28:14	communicate		88:12,18
15:21,22	30:15	d	complicatin	
clinician	76:18,24	23:14	<b>g</b> 91:21	consortium
40:18,21	77:3	communicati	91:21	80:23
41:25	collaborati	ng	component	82:9,16
54:9	ve	9:5	32:21	84:1,9 86:13
100:24	31:1	communicati	38:2	86:13
105:10	colleague	ons	comport	consultatio
110:5,6,	12:10	29:25	63:13,14	n
7,16,17	55:18	30:14	73:19	52:10
111:16,	80:21	59:14	<b>a</b>	continue
17,18	103:17	60:21	Composite	39:17
	109:16	61:1	111:21	92:5
clinician's			computer	105:7,15
105:11	colleagues	communities	8:22	109:8
clinicians	6:25	31:17 32:8	concerned	continued
40:5,9	College	32:8 46:16	81:23	63:22
41:12	17:18	40:10		03:22
42:14	column	community	concerns	contract
97:11	94:9	12:1 14:6	110:12	44:23,24
110:21		34:4,8	concluded	48:21
close	combination	001=///==	122:16	62:9,11,
117:8	32:16	40:5,8,	concludes	14
Glb	89:2	18,21	122:11	contracted
Club	comments	41:12,25		34:6 85:9
108:23	107:17	42:14	conference	a on two a ta
Clubhouse	commercial	77:25	6:11	contracts 56:7 57:3
108:25	49:7,20	110:9	64:21	56:7 57:5
109:1	•	company	confirm	contractual
Clubhouses	commerciall	35:22	10:24	79:14
108:22	У	complaint	12:21,25	contradicto
	49:14	18:21	confirmed	ry
coach	commissione		78:21	102:9
45:17	r		,0.21	
1				



INITED STATE	S vs STATE OF C	SEORGIA	Index: contr	adictsday-to-d
contradicts	23:4	counting	111:25	
102:6	37:20	39:5	Cross	D
contribute	40:18	country	72:12	
30:25	55:20	110:20		D-A-N-T-E
44:18	66:1,2		CSB	7:24
	69:2 77:5	County	11:25	
conversatio	78:23	79:13	85:18	Danielle
n	80:25	80:5,6	CSBS	7:4 15:24
8:3	82:4 87:7	couple	44:18	26:18
conversatio	90:13,15	- 27:19	85:14,15	27:19
ns	92:14,16,	82:20		80:22
25:18	20,21,24	118:6	curious	81:2,6,13
76:8	93:1		41:13	88:19
	94:9,15,	court	79:2	100:23
coordinate	16,19,21	6:16 8:9,	86:24	101:9
29:3,15,	95:1,6,7,	11,12,16,	100:13	106:17
21 30:11	11,12	18 12:16	104:13	115:17,18
119:3	99:5	18:12,19,	current	116:1,10
coordinated	101:22,23	20 22:12	16:8	Danielle's
20:8	102:19	55:11	17:25	115:23
	106:16	coverage	22:19	113.23
coordinatin	115:19	112:3	26:16,20	Dante
g	117:24,25		56:21	6:12 7:9,
20:12	119:13,16	covered	62:6 81:6	24
coordinatio	120:11,	32:23,25	101:22	dash
n	17,18,23	33:1	103:20	93:18
30:22		49:13,25	104:10	
	cost	COVID-19	107:5	dashboard
coordinator	109:5	43:13	115:24	48:1
15:7	counsel		117:9	data
16:3,6	6:20 9:18	create	117.9	46:24
81:8	17:3	34:3	curve	47:15,18,
copied	20:18,22	created	52:3 75:6	19,25
80:23		33:16	cut	117:3,5,9
	counseling	95:13,20	16:16	
сору	35:13,14	-	55:25	date
122:9	37:25	credentials	60:1 92:5	6:7
core	38:1	111:11	00.1 92:5	17:13,19
36:16	counselor	crisis	cyber	81:2
	37:13	33:5	61:25	85:11
corporate	45:21	35:16,20	62:20	day
7:6	101:3	49:11	CVF	37.4 5

49:11

14,21

36:17

criteria

72:9,13,

CYF

80:23

82:9,15

84:1,9

86:13



correct

12:19

13:4,5

20:15

19:13,16

39:24

count

counted

83:12

37:4,5

day-to-day

28:6

83:20,21

_			_	<u> </u>
days	36:14	19:2 22:2	depositions	115:21
21:23	decision	23:5,9	18:17	differences
OBHDD	63:17,22	27:1	deputy	65:4,6
7:6 13:18	68:19,23	33:18	118:23	89:4
23:7,11	69:8	34:4	describe	91:1,4
27:1	74:15	36:12	26:21	98:17
28:15	75:15	37:18,19	36:10	differentia
29:2	111:14	38:17	76:18,24	tion
30:11	decision-	49:5,9	91:4 96:4	73:14
31:1 34:4	making	57:15		73:14
36:2	69:4	82:21	designee	differently
37:19	69:4	83:4,6	44:6	97:20
42:25	decline	101:2	detection	differs
44:11,23	54:20	105:12	33:25	41:6
46:12	decrease	106:14		
48:18	53:4,23	107:23	determinati	
57:2,14,	55:8	109:6	on	9:4
22 62:3,	55.0	depending	74:6	dip
14,15,17	decreases	39:10	determined	96:16
63:3	53:13	dononda	36:25	
65:11	dedicate	depends 37:3	61:3 63:7	dipping
67:21	27:3		66:13	95:9
69:4		51:11	98:2	direct
70:7,12,	dedicated	62:8	100:14	13:16,23
16,17,18	37:10	deponent	110:14	14:21,24,
73:2	defendant	21:13	111:19	25 15:1,
75:15	23:1	deposed		2,15
78:22		21:20	developed	25:18
81:22	defines	22:15,16	59:1,11	34:5
86:3,5	94:13	108:2,8	69:10,13	
99:15,21	defining	100:2,0	development	directed
100:14	62:8	deposition	15:6	56:4
103:2,21	4-61-1-1-1	6:12,19		direction
104:10	definition	7:21	Development	109:22
106:15	52:20	12:16,17	al	33 <b>-</b>
112:2	94:17	13:1,12	23:6,10	director
114:14	definitions	18:20	diagnoses	13:25
118:12	10:24	19:8,9,	107:17	14:13,16,
120:14	degree	15,18,21	44	23,25
	_	20:2,5,	diagnosis	15:6,10
DBHDD's	17:8,10,	22,24	35:10,12	16:11
56:3	20 110:7,	21:1,4,8,	53:18	20:6
101:24	17 111:9,	13,17	107:13	67:15,20,
113:14	17	22:4,8,13	difference	24 68:6,
	department	55:14	56:14	9,17
DBHDD-	40F411 01110110	55.11	50.14	76:13



ANTE MCKAY NITED STATES	S vs STATE OF (	March 09, 2023 Index: disabilitiesenvironmen		
87:10	69:16	74:23	e-tran	eliminates
117:24	87:20	109:6	122:10	32:18,24
119:4	89:1,11	double	earlier	embed
120:22	90:1,3	95:9	10:6,8	37:1
121:20	106:12,	96:16	49:5	
disabilitie	19,20		56:23	embedded
3	121:16	draft	75:4	32:17
23:6,10	disorders	60:17,23	92:18	35:17
42:16	107:14	drafts	115:17	38:9
109:10	44 t	117:6		44:25
12 1- 2 1 2 4	disrupt	drop	early	45:11
lisability	89:20	120:6,8	33:25	51:18
50:25	108:18	120:8,8	43:15,16	65:7,16
52:12	disruptive	121:8	75:2,3	71:16
lisagree	53:10,14,	dropped	118:20	73:8 89:5
91:6	22,23	119:14,18	Eastern	91:19
92:22	district	121:7	6:9,10	94:3
104:7	36:20,24	due	edited	embedding
liscovery	36:20,24	95:4	60:18	97:10
98:2	37:3	121:9	60:18	emotional
98:2			education	50:24
discretion	43:18,19,	duly	11:3,15	50:24
105:11	24,25	7:10	17:7,24	
liscuss	districts		32:13	54:8
86:15,25	34:9	E	42:15	109:9
87:13	61:14		77:21	employees
117:20	disturbance		94:14	23:15,20
	54:8	e-mail	100:13	28:6 37:1
liscussed	54:0	80:22	educational	81:22
23:25	division	81:2,11,	7:17 11:7	86:4
24:3,11,	7:18	16,19	76:3,8	Empowerment
17,23	13:18	84:21,22,	82:22	Empowerment 39:3,4
25:5	14:14,17	23 85:17,	99:5	39:3,4
88:1,13,	87:11	25 86:3,	99:5	encourage
19 96:7	document	12,16,20	effective	34:6
101:16	12:12,22,	87:1,8,	46:6	end
liscussing	24 13:10	14,25	effort	13:10
94:18	55:23	88:6,12,	59:21	13.10
109:18	58:13,16	18 90:7,	37.21	ending
	104:25	14,16	efforts	117:4
discussion		92:13,19,	31:1	enrolled
89:22	documents	23 94:13	69:25	105:1
liscussions	18:19,24	95:2	eligible	
	19:5	100:10	51:19	enterprise
23 • 18 21			J + • + J	118:25
23:18,21	22:11	102:1,18		110.23
23:18,21 25:8,22 60:16	22:11 dollars	102:1,18 104:9	eliminate 33:24	environment



UNITED STATE	S VS STATE OF C	index: environmentsieeling		
107:4	exact	expand		falling
environment	85:10	56:22	F	97:13
s	EXAMINATION	expanded		falls
75:13	7:13	85:11	facilities	96:12
equate	examine	expansion	61:24	97:2
62:9,15	99:15,21	85:12	62:19	familiar
-			63:9,12,	20:10
Esquire	examined	<b>expect</b> 54:20	19 66:14	23:5 40:1
6:18	7:11	54:20 55:7	67:1	64:6
essentially	examples		68:20	112:11
16:22	38:25	expectation	70:11 71:16,25	families
26:25	exceed	45:24	78:14	13:17,24
33:23	107:14	51:5	104:15	29:23
82:16	Excellent	52:7,14	104:13	61:13
establish	10:12,16,	expectation	108:22	81:23
69:20	20 12:7	s		83:24
established	13:14	53:21	facility	famil
28:24	15:8	expected	63:15	<b>family</b> 32:15
69:12	121:22	45:3,8	94:14	35:13
			fact	38:1
Estrella	exception	experience	21:20	39:2,3
16:6	105:17	21:13	106:19	
evaluate	exceptions	expert	factor	FAQ
13:19	104:24	26:19	91:21	56:5
evaluation	excuse	explain	factors	58:11 59:1
47:4,12,	17:23	15:13	108:19	61:16,18
22 84:11	48:2	51:14		65:25
116:16	executive	explained	fair	103:18,23
117:5,11	14:6	8:2	28:13	104:14
evaluations			38:6	109:17
47:10	executives	explains	39:11	111:1
116:18	43:24	56:14	53:6,20 54:6	FAQS
117:17	Exhibit	explanation	61:15	56:4
	12:4,8	22:1	78:7	57:2,15,
evaluator	55:13,15	extended	80:11	22 59:17
117:13	80:16,20	60:22	85:25	60:4
event	103:19		93:22	
97:18	109:17	extensive	96:15	February
events	exhibiting	48:4	103:20	14:10
82:22	54:17	extent	116:1	27:21
83:16,19	55:4	20:9	fall	feel
eventually	exists	103:22	54:12	9:11
69:21	27:14	external	117:10	feeling
	27,14	60:19	<u> </u>	10:10,11



March 09, 2023

Index: environments..feeling

OMITED STATE	NITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index: lemalesGadoe		
females	80:24	46:7	15 114:8,	50:10		
68:4	81:4,15,	47:6,13	16 116:4,	56:20		
fidelity	18,21	48:3,8	19 118:2	58:23		
54:19	82:6	51:8	121:1	108:15		
	86:16,19	52:16	formal	109:6		
field	87:1	57:4,24	28:23	funded		
111:24	88:14	59:2,18	36:21	82:18		
filed	90:4	60:5 61:9	69:10	95:5		
12:16	106:17	62:21				
18:19	114:12,23	63:4,10,	formalized	funding		
filings	115:8	20 64:2	69:22	36:17		
filings	119:7,14,	66:6,15	formally	39:19		
18:12,19 21:8	24	67:3,12	26:15	44:22		
22:12	Fitzgerald'	68:1,21	68:24	48:19,20		
	s	69:6	format	49:5,9,12		
filled	15:8	70:14	37:7	56:6,16, 19 57:3,		
27:14	Flowers	71:1,21				
final		72:5	forward	8,10 58:19,21		
60:7,10,	15:2,4 16:4	73:4,16,	86:25	70:19		
14,23	10:4	25 74:9	Frances	70:19		
65:25	focus	75:18	6:25			
66:3,8	58:16	76:9,20 77:9		funds		
£:1:+:-	115:23	78:9,15,	free	35:19		
finalizatio	focused	24 79:5,	9:11	36:21		
n 117:7	49:18	23 81:25	freezes	56:23,24,		
117.7	83:21	82:10	8:22	25 85:13		
fine	folks	84:12	frequency	90:9		
9:22	59:12	85:2 86:6	47:22	91:11,16		
finish		87:15	69:11	93:19		
9:7,24	follow	91:7	118:6	95:3,10 96:16,17,		
	89:17	92:25	121:4	20,21		
firm	92:4	93:7,25	B	97:1		
19:14,24	105:5	94:11,20	Frequently 55:20	101:17		
fit	form	95:14	55:20	101.17		
64:8	24:5	96:5,18,	full			
66:20,21	25:11,25	22 97:7	111:6,7	G		
fits	26:8,23	98:12	113:20,24			
27:14	27:8,15,	99:9	117:3	Gadoe		
	25 28:16	100:6,18	fully	11:2		
Fitzgerald	29:5 30:3	102:2,20	10:13	18:10		
14:25	31:2,18	103:5,24		23:15,20		
15:24	36:4	104:20	fund	24:1,4,		
16:3	40:10,23	106:8,25	13:19	12,18,24		
26:17	41:15	109:11	14:7	25:6,10,		
27:18	42:1,8,21	112:4,19	48:5,14	24 26:5,		
59:15	44:13	113:1,7,	49:22			



March 09, 2023

Index: females..Gadoe

IITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: Gadoe'sha	
96:25	10,13,14,	101:10,	governmenta	
103:15	17,18	18,20	1	
105:19	18:6,7	102:8	38:16	
	25:5,9,24	103:3,10,		
-	51:6,7,	21	governor	
	12,17	104:11,	56:21	
		15,16	59:9	
			graduation	
			50:21	
117:4			grant	
Georgia			26:20	
-			95:5	
			96:25	
			115:24	
			great	
		•	14:21	
		121:17,20		
		GNETS-	group	
		SPECIFIC	35:14	
		103:14	38:1	
			85:20,21	
		_	110:3,15	
			111:15	
	•		112:16,24	
			guess	
			18:1 21:8	
	•		33:10	
		105:8	45:15	
117:12	78:13,23	goals		
Georgia's	79:3,22	•	50:9	
•	80:3,13		74:12	
	88:10		77:13,17	
	89:5,9		85:16	
108:23	90:10,12		97:1	
give	91:12,14,		guidance	
_	17,25	_	111:5	
	92:7,10,	7:15	114:5	
	11,12	10:11,23		
	93:5,6,	11:21	guidelines	
	18,19,23	83:13	111:23	
	94:9,14,	gosh	guy	
	18,19	_	21:23	
00.21		17,44		
giving		governing		
19:8	98:9	110:8	H	
GNETS	99:3,8,22	111:20		
	96:25 103:15 105:19  generally 35:5 37:24 54:16 107:3 115:11 117:4  Georgia 6:1,14 7:3 11:3, 6,11,23 13:22 18:5 23:5,9,13 29:4 39:2 48:7,16 95:5 96:24 100:5 107:24 108:20 110:20 111:21,22 112:18 117:12  Georgia's 29:16,22  Girls 108:23  give 10:2 12:10 13:9 34:11 55:18 65:24 80:21  giving	96:25 10,13,14, 103:15 17,18 105:19 18:6,7  generally 35:5 37:24 54:16 107:3 115:11 117:4 20 61:24  Georgia 6:1,14 63:8,18 7:3 11:3, 6,11,23 66:14 13:22 67:1,5, 18:5 15,20,24 23:5,9,13 68:20 29:4 39:2 70:11,13, 48:7,16 25 71:15, 95:5 19 73:3, 96:24 14,15,24 100:5 74:7,15, 107:24 100:5 74:7,15, 107:24 11:21,22 15,19 112:18 77:7,20 111:21,22 15,19 112:18 77:7,20 117:12 78:13,23  Georgia's 29:16,22  Girls 10:20 76:7,12, 111:21,22 15,19 112:18 77:7,20 17:12 78:13,23  Georgia's 29:16,22 80:3,13 88:10  Girls 10:20 91:12,14, 17,25 10:2 12:10 13:9 10:2 17,25 12:10 13:9 11,12 34:11 93:5,6, 55:18 18,19,23 94:9,14, 80:21 18:19 95:2,4,10 96:16,20	96:25	



ONTILE OTATE	3 VS STATE OF C	index. nandindividually		
83:21	55:2,6	hit	identified	84:9,25
hand	70:2	57:9	12:4	93:17
	72:20	hoa	35:11	94:2,4
59:13	76:14	hoc	51:17	109:2
happen	80:1	84:17	64:17	
121:21	83:1,20	hold	65:21	included
h 3	87:11	83:16	80:16	12:19
happened	97:21			35:15
34:19	107:13,	holding	identify	61:4
69:8 89:7	14,18	83:10	45:3	62:11
hard	108:13,22	holds	i11	85:20,21
78:3	110:21	94:14	68:7	116:14
			00.7	118:22
Harris	112:1	home	illness	includes
15:1,6	114:6	35:23	54:9	
16:5	health-	89:9,18	imagine	38:10
head	related	105:3,10,	60:25	86:1
8:20	14:8	15	60:25	93:14
		homeschoole	impact	including
headed	hear		84:2,3,4	49:22
109:22	8:24	d	121:14,19	74:19
health	34:14,15,	61:25		
	16,19	62:19	implemented	incorrect
13:17	held	74:25	61:20	91:15
14:13,17	14:9	honestly	104:3	increase
23:6,10,	14.0	8:5	important	33:21
12,22	helped		29:2,14,	65:11
24:11,24	43:19	Hope	20 30:11	03.11
25:16,23	75:15	39:2	31:15	increases
26:19	halmful	hopeful	49:6	33:6
29:4,15	helpful	97:22	109:8	indication
30:12,15,	41:3	57.22	112:23	102:15
20 31:11,	114:2	host		102:15
16 32:7	Henry	83:17	113:6,10	indirect
33:14	79:13	hour	improper	15:12,17
35:5	80:5	10:4	30:4	25:19
37:23,25		10.1	J	J., 43 3 3
38:3,17	Hernandez	hours	in-person	individual
40:2,5,8,	7:5	111:7	16:24	35:13
16,17	high	hung	in-service	37:25
41:11,24	50:21	45:8	38:4	77:25
42:7,12,		45:0	97:17	110:3,15
13 43:12	highest			111:10,15
46:15	17:7	I	include	112:16,23
48:6,15	hire		23:22	individuall
	44:24	2.2	36:19	
53:13		ideally	40:16,21	<b>y</b> 37:7
54:3,7,	hires	51:23	42:13	J 1 . 1
10,13	16:2	52:4	50:24	



March 09, 2023

Index: hand..individually

INITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: individualsJohnso	
individuals	insight	interns	9:16	43:7
15:15,19	101:6,7	110:22	57:2,15,	44:13,20
36:15		111:8	22	45:5
57:16	instance			46:7,17,
68:16	33:22	interplays	issued	22 47:6,
100:3,15	37:9 90:9	104:8	58:11	13 48:8,
108:1	101:17	interpretat	issues	17 50:5,
111:4	instances	ion	54:18	14 51:1,8
113:20	89:7	86:11	55:4,6,7	52:16
inform	instrumenta	interrupt	items	53:15,25
86:4	lity	9:6	12:19	54:21
86:4	38:14	J.0		55:9
informal	30.14	intervene	iterations	57:4,24
28:22	insurance	75:4	57:19	58:6,14
information	35:22	intervenes		59:2,18
10:5 17:3	49:7,20	52:2	J	60:5 61:9
20:10	50:17			62:21
27:3	100:5	interventio		63:4,10,
28:22	112:1	n	January	20 64:2
55:25	113:23,24	75:3	27:24	66:6,15
60:13	insured	107:15	28:13	67:3,12
65:1	49:15	Interventio	JD	68:1,21
66:17,25	112:17	nal	17:13	69:6
67:7,18		117:13	18:2	70:14
69:3,21	intend			71:1,21
74:20	35:4	interventio	job	72:5
75:12,15	intended	ns	8:4 14:2	73:4,16,
98:16,22	71:14	107:7	Johnson	25 74:9
90:10,22	72:13	introduce	7:2	75:18
informed		6:20	14:12,16	76:9,20
114:13	intensive		18:8	77:4,9
inherited	65:22	invite	19:14,20	78:9,15,
63:22	99:4,7	83:17	21:16	24 79:5,
	100:12	involved	24:5,13,	23 81:25
inhibit	intent	16:17	19,25	82:10
10:17	57 <b>:</b> 7	60:15,19	25:11,25	84:12
initial	J	63:17	26:8,23	85:2
18:21	interest	111:14	27:8,15,	86:6,17
19:10	57:15	119:8	25 28:16	87:3,8,
	interested	involvement	29:5,11	10,14,15,
initially	16:23	69:15	30:3,17	21,22
41:10	interim	118:22	31:2,18,	88:2,9,
119:6	14:13		25 32:9	15,21
input	エキ・エン	involves	36:4,6	91:7
68:18	internal	38:7	40:10,23	92:15,25
	121:15	issue	41:15,17	93:7,25
75:14		1 8 8 1 1 2	44.40,47	



UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index. joinediiiniting		
95:14,21	Jones'		22	73:18	
96:5,18,	81:6	L	lead	110:7,17	
22 97:7	July		14:4	111:8,18	
98:12,24	17:23	lag	14.4	118:25	
99:9,17,	17.25	8:23	leadership	119:3	
23 100:6,	June	0.23	14:6	121:20	
18 101:12	17:21	LAMFT	42:19	Levert	
102:2,20	82:24	110:6	43:5,23	7:1	
103:5,24	Justice	landed	44:10	7 • ±	
104:20	7:19 19:3	60:22	leads	liaison	
106:8,25	22:2		82:18	14:5	
107:21	107:23	language		114:14	
109:11	107,120	62:10	learn	115:2,9,	
112:4,19		large	20:22	15,19	
113:1,7,	K	70:6 95:3	66:25	116:3	
15 114:8,		late	learned	librarian	
16,25	keeping	21:22	43:17	45:18	
115:3,10	95:23	21:22	110:21,24		
116:4,19	۳۵۱۱۰۰	Laura	111:12	licensed	
117:20	<b>Kelly</b> 6:25	7:1	led	100:15	
118:2,14	0:25	law	16:13	101:2	
119:7,9,	Kemp	8:11	64:20	110:4,6,	
15,18,20	56:21	17:8,10,	69:21	16,17	
120:16	59:9	11		111:3,4,	
121:1,10,	kids		left-hand	16,17	
25 122:7	54:11	lawsuit	90:18	112:25	
joined		7:20	93:14	114:7	
7:4	kind	18:13	legal	licensing	
	35:9 38:3	19:11	20:6	100:3	
joining	69:10,20	22:19,22	60:20	111:25	
20:14	118:24	23:2		112:12	
21:2	knew	107:23,25	lenient	licensure	
Jones	20:11	108:9	113:25	110:7,18	
80:23	knowledge	Layla	lessons	111:6,8,	
81:3,13,	13:15	14:25	43:10	18	
21 82:6	20:17	15:8,24	letters	113:13,	
86:20,23	70:22,24	16:3	36:19	21,24	
87:1	70:22,24	26:17	44:4		
88:19	97:3	27:18	44.4	life	
90:3	101:5,9	59:15	level	32:25	
94:13,23	101:5,5	80:23	17:7	33:1	
98:8,23	Kristi	81:3,18	43:19	life/social	
101:9	14:24	88:13	56:24	110:10	
106:17		106:17	65:3	limitina	
115:17,18		114:12,22	66:3,8	limiting	
		115:7,21,	69:9	121:16	



March 09, 2023

Index: joined..limiting

OMITED STATES	S VS STATE OF C	II	idex: iistedmentai	
listed	34:14	making	matter	11,25
13:8	119:14,19	46:2,4	6:12	69:20
15:15	120:9	68:23	89:13	76:3
20:19	1	69:8		83:10,12
74:19	lost	89:21	matters	84:6
104:24	84:4	97:10	86:15,25	117:23
1444	lot	106:19	87:13,25	118:1,13,
litigation	57:12	108:17	88:12,18	17,18,20,
21:2	83:9,10		maximize	21 119:2
121:13,18	lots	manage	84:2	120:1,2,
lives	76:1	14:4,7	MBA	10,15,20,
32:23	70:1	managed	18:2	21 121:19
49:14,25	Louisiana	33:23	10:2	25.7
LMFT	17:12	49:19	Mckay	Melanie
110:5	LPC	managar	6:12 7:9,	7:2
110:2	110:5	manager	24 55:14	19:14,20
LMSW		15:21,25 81:3,7,9	117:18	member
110:6	LPSW	81:3,7,9	Meaning	59:25
load	110:5	manipulate	58:19	60:3
37:12	lunchroom	12:15	30.19	members
109:1	45:19	manual	meant	26:16
109.1	13.13	112:3,11	111:24	26:16 31:6
local		112.5,11	Medicaid	
34:3 69:9	M	March	33:23	60:19
location		6:1,7	49:18,23,	67:17
11:13,14,	M-C-K-A-Y	12:18	25 50:19	memory
19 51:12	7:25	marked	100:4	20:11
92:12		12:3,8	111:2	57:12
	made	55:13,15	112:1	mental
locations	74:6	80:15,20	113:19	23:22
73:3,15,	majority	103:18	114:1	24:11,23
24 74:8	32:3	109:17		25:23
75:17	49:13		medical	26:19
93:6	118:17	married	35:23	29:3
104:17	make	81:12	39:3	30:12,15
log	make 21:24	Maryland	medication	31:11,16
48:2		64:20	10:17	32:7
_	35:1			33:14
long	38:18	Master	meet	35:5 38:3
14:9	45:20	111:8	68:9	40:2,5,8,
39:18	75:15	Master's	120:24	16,17
56:12	105:21	17:8,15	meeting	41:11,24
64:3 83:6	107:16	110:7,17	69:11	42:7,12,
long-term	makes	111:17	mootings	13 43:12
33:8	22:17	materials	meetings	46:15
longor	45:22	49:1	21:23,25	48:6,15
longer		47.1	67:5,8,	10.0,15



March 09, 2023

Index: listed..mental

NITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: mentionedobje		
53:13	73:20	moved	net		
54:3,7,9,	74:17,22	48:1,2	38:18,19	0	
10,13	75:13	multitiered	70:19		
55:1,6	94:24	64:5,9	network	oath	
70:1	96:8,13	04:5,9	11:6	8:9,10	
72:20	97:5		18:10	0:9,10	
107:13,18	98:10	N	84:5	object	
108:12,22	107:5		04:5	9:15 24:5	
112:1	110:24	Nakeba	networks	25:11,25	
114:6	models	68:15	110:22	26:8,23	
entioned	75:1		nod	27:8,15,	
21:7,21	75:1	named	8:20	25 28:16	
50:3 56:9	moment	19:1		29:5 30:3	
63:24	13:9	68:11	non-apex	31:2,18	
72:8	55:18	names	90:18	36:4	
117:22	80:21	14:19,20	93:15	40:10,23	
117:22	money	67:14	94:8	41:15	
et	50:4	68:3,10	102:11	42:1,8,23	
37:15	83:10	00.0,20	113:14	44:13	
et all		narrow	non-csb	46:7	
39:15	Monica	115:20	38:10,20	47:6,13	
39.13	7:5 14:16	narrower		48:8 51:8	
iddle	20:6,14,	115:20	nonclinical	52:16	
9:24 35:9	25 21:16		110:12	57:4,24	
51:16	87:7,10	national	note	59:2,18	
117:10	88:1,9	64:21	99:3	60:5 61:9	
illion	119:7,18,	nature		62:21	
57:13,17,	24	25:8	notice	63:4,10,	
18	monitor	54:3,13	12:16,18	20 64:2	
	13:19	55:2	13:1,4	66:6,15	
ingling	14:7	99:15	notices	67:3,12	
97:1		107:13,18	18:21	68:1,21	
inute	month	108:24	NTSS	69:6	
12:10	118:7			70:14	
	120:25	navigating	64:10	71:1,21	
inutes	121:5	114:2	number	72:5	
10:4	monthly	necessarily	46:25	73:4,16,	
odel	47:15,18,	49:20	47:1	25 74:9	
35:7	19,25	74:15	51:24	75:18	
51:15	48:3	needed	69:18	76:9,20	
54:15		22:1	80:24	77:9	
62:12	morning	22:1 67:18	92:19	78:15,24	
63:13,14	7:15	0/:10	nurturo	79:5,23	
64:12	motions	neighborhoo	nurture 34:7	81:25	
65:13,19	18:21	d	34:/	84:12	
	19:2	41:21,24	nutshell	85:2 86:6	
66:23	17.4				



TED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: objectionparticipa	
91:7	101:12	26:18	opinion	Pacheco
92:25	107:21	30:20,21,	30:5	6:15
93:7,25	114:25	24 31:6,	31:20,22	
94:11,20	115:3,10	7,12	43:11	paid
95:14	118:14	60:22	91:24	96:20
96:5,18,	119:9,15,	61:1 70:5	92:3	pandemic
22 97:7	20 120:16	76:13,15,	102:5	83:8
98:12	121:10	16 81:22	113:9	118:9
99:9		83:23		121:8,9,
100:6,18	objections	114:13	Opportuniti	12
102:2,20	9:16	115:14	es	
103:5,24	obligation	116:8,11	7:18	parameters
104:20	8:11	118:16	opportunity	54:10
106:8,25			12:14	108:3
109:11	observation	officer	34:11	Paraprofess
112:4,19	S	118:12,19	35:2	ionals
113:1,7,	77:24	120:14	84:10	110:9
15 114:8,	observe	officers	109:2,4	
16 116:4,	78:2	118:25	111:2	parent
19 118:2				15:7
121:1	observers	offices	orally	part
121:1	45:16	69:24	8:18	16:17
jection	occasionall	offset	order	33:9
24:13,19,	У	52:1	111:7	36:18,23
25 29:11	9:14		122:8	42:6
30:17		on-campus		58:22
31:25	occur	89:6	orders	64:11
32:9 43:7	27:13	one-on-one	122:5	76:2 91:5
44:20	47:11	77:22	original	115:13
45:5	October	89:3	78:4	
46:17,22	80:22			participate
48:17	81:24	one-time	outlined	16:23
50:5,14	83:2	56:20,23	58:12	42:19
51:1	102:17	58:19	outpatient	43:5
53:15,25	117:8	ongoing	35:24	44:11
54:21		35:12	37:8	74:25
55:9	OCYF	37:15		97:15
58:6,14	82:3	57:1	overlap	participate
77:4	offer	97:25	29:19	d
82:10	34:1	98:4		118:13,
86:17		JU.4	P	16,19
87:3,22	offhand	operate		•
88:2,15,	38:22	48:24		119:14,
00:4,15,	office	108:21	p.m.	19,25
			105:25	120:2,9,
21 92:15		operatod	100.20	1.0
	13:16,23 14:4,5	<pre>operated 18:10</pre>	106:3	12



NITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: participatesprevale	
participate	payors	permitted	12:3,8	Possibly
3	32:23	89:16	55:13,14	55:1
26:17	2214	105:4	80:15,20	59:12
participati	<b>pays</b> 27:1	norgon	103:18	88:16
_	27:1	<pre>person 15:18</pre>	109:17	DOWON
n <b>g</b> 120:14	Pearson	68:11	nlan	<pre>power 69:4</pre>
120:14	14:22,23		<b>plan</b> 14:7	69:4
participati	15:21	77:24		practice
on	pediatricia	personnel	29:21	64:24
120:3,4	n	48:25	33:10	83:23
partner	35:23	49:22	36:20	110:8,23
38:21	33.23	54:19	48:23	111:20
	peer	77:7	50:20	
46:1,3 82:18	15:7	nhilosophic	point	<pre>practices 62:17</pre>
04:18	neers	philosophic al	9:10	
partners	<pre>peers   25:18,19,</pre>		66:19	64:18
39:1,10	20:18,19,	105:19	80:1,13	predates
partnership	20	philosophy	84:18	33:17
38:7	pending	62:22	90:2	nuodo a o a a o u
	9:23	63:3 64:1	105:6	predecessor
42:20	people	70:10	106:12	<b>s</b>
43:6	15:16,20	78:22	110:21	69:13
44:19	26:14	95:24	112:12	prefer
79:10	46:12	96:1,2,4,	119:1	95:24
partnership	80:24	6,12	121:8	preliminary
3	00:24	101:25		117:6
93:23	percent	102:13	policies	117:0
22224	27:11	103:12	58:25	preparation
passed 48:20	34:5	105:13	policy	20:9
48:20	percentage		62:3,8,9,	59:16
passing	27:2,7	phrase	15 63:25	prepare
21:21	21.2,1	107:19	73:13	19:17,21
Patel	perform	piece	95:13,18	20:4
7:5 20:7,	44:3	99:5	105:12	20:4
14,25	performance	100:13	111:23	22:12
14,20	16:12			prepared
pause		place	population	116:18
77:14	period	33:24,25	50:12,23	preparing
106:1	37:2,14	82:19	103:15	18:17
navor	67:6	118:1	portion	
p <b>ayor</b> 32:21	69:17	places	49:4,9,22	present
	periodicall	52:22	109:7	13:7
33:1,2,11	_	114:7		pretty
35:21	<b>y</b> 86:4		position	28:4
49:6	4.00	plaintiff	13:25	20:4
72:10,15	permissible	22:21	15:9	prevalent
113:19			27:14	



NITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: preventprovid	
prevent	62:18,19	14:24	91:14,17,	programs/
75:6	74:22	15:10,25	19,20	activities
prevention	113:22,23	16:2,6,	92:1,4,7	110:11
35:8	proceed	12,13,14	94:5,15	progress
51:16,24	10:21	18:6	100:16	89:21
64:16	10:21	20:12	101:20	
65:20	process	23:25	102:8	108:17
	36:22	25:6,9	103:9	prohibited
110:11	56:22	33:13,15,	105:4	105:13
prevents	106:22	20 34:13	106:16	Project
52:3	107:20	35:3,4	107:25	24:17
	108:5	36:3,9	108:12	
previewing		37:22	116:3	26:20
86:12	processes	38:6	117:14	115:23,24
previous	16:18	39:10		116:11
88:24	procurement	42:19	programming	promoted
117:2	16:13,18	43:6,16,	14:8	81:9
	36:12,22	20,25	83:22	
previously	37:19	44:11	108:16	promotion
12:3,8	39:13,15	46:6	109:5	38:3
14:15			programs	72:20
41:11	procurement	47:5,11	11:11	proposal
64:15	s	48:5,14	24:24	36:13
80:15,19	16:20	49:17		39:14
88:1,13,	producing	50:13,24	25:24	
19 94:18	19:5	51:12,18,	38:21	proposals
96:7		19 52:8	39:9 44:2	36:15,16
116:11	productivit	53:4,11,	48:23	provide
117:22	У	21 54:8,	49:8	13:7
primary	84:4	15 56:15,	65:5,14	14:20
36:2	professiona	17,22	66:20	16:9 34:5
30:2	l	57:1	67:19	53:12
principal	32:16	64:23	71:10,11	67:2
81:22		65:2,7,8,	78:13	
	33:5	9 67:15,	79:4,12,	68:19
prior	101:3	24 68:23	22 80:12,	69:3
21:2,5,7	professiona	69:12,14	13 91:22	70:12,20,
60:14	ls	73:8	93:18,23	21 72:3
65:10	32:20	76:2,7,	94:9 95:4	75:8,14
80:8 83:8	59:14	15,19	96:10	98:5
101:1	112:25	78:14	97:2	100:16
118:21	114:7	80:3,5	98:18	104:18
120:3		81:3,7,8,	99:22	108:12
priority	professions	9,24	100:5	109:20,24
30:2,16	111:20	82:17,18	111:9	110:9
·	program	88:10		provided
private	11:5,9	89:5,9	programs'	37:22
61:23,24	13:18		73:3	
	10.10	90:12		39:20



OINITED STATES	S VS STATE OF C	JEURGIA	Inc	iex: providerread
41:14	39:12,18	65:12	quasi	questions
54:18	48:21,22	74:16,23	38:16	8:4,5,15,
61:23	49:3,15,	93:18,19	question	18,24
62:18	23 56:7	100:5	9:11,12,	9:7,15
63:8,18	58:21	112:1	17,23	10:13,18,
66:14	61:13,14	113:19	10:6	20 16:9
70:11	70:18,19	117:12	15:13	17:5 19:4
78:23	83:17	public-	18:14	20:8 21:9
79:3	84:10	facing	30:5,8,10	55:20
80:13	85:1,8,9	61:2	31:20	57:12
98:22	86:1,5	01:2	34:10,23	61:3,5,7,
99:8,22	92:1,19,	publication	35:2	8,16
101:10	24 93:4,	60:24	37:18	67:20
103:22	24	publicly	38:10	69:18
104:14	108:14,	112:17	40:13	76:2
110:4,16	21,25		41:6,7,10	97:20
111:16	providing	published	42:22	121:23
112:18,24	20:23	48:4	43:3	122:1
117:16	51:19	pull	45:10	quick
provider	60:14	80:21	48:12	56:13
34:1	72:3		50:3	105:21
35:17,21	100:3	purpose	52:6,7,13	
36:18,24,		33:19	56:14	quote
25 39:17	provision	put	61:18	63:7 95:9
49:10,12	23:22	9:15	71:2,12,	96:16
72:9,13,	25:23	47:18	14,22	110:2
23 79:10,	40:4,7,17	52:12	, 78:19	
11 84:5	42:13	puts	79:19	R
89:16	48:6,15	8:11	80:8	
91:24	provisional		81:17	Rahming
92:3	ly		82:11	68:15
100:25	110:16	Q	85:7	00:15
101:1	111:3,17		86:10,19	raise
105:4	PSI	Q&a	88:23,24	29:20
110:22	77:24	16:21,22,	90:21	rationale
112:11		25	97:10	63:25
provider's	public	qualificati	99:13,19	73:13
89:15	17:9,15	ons	100:8,22	
	18:3,4	112:16	104:6	reaching
providers	23:12,23		109:19	94:24
16:14	25:15	qualities	112:5	97:6
34:4,8	32:21	58:12	113:17	98:10
36:14,23	49:18	quarterly	115:5	read
37:10	50:17	118:7	119:22,23	18:12
38:11,17,	61:25	121:5	questioning	19:10
18,20	62:20		108:7	20:8
i .				l l



March 09, 2023

Index: provider..read

NITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: readingremembe	
21:1,4,7	88:4 89:1	6:7 7:15,	refining	114:15,23
90:7,23,	receipt	23 8:20	64:22	115:8
24 91:5	53:3	9:16 12:5	reflect	116:2
122:10	55:5	13:21	62:2,16	120:2
	receive	20:13		121:12,
reading 90:13	16:12,15	55:16,22	63:2	13,16
	17:2 28:8	80:17	reflective	
95:25	35:24	90:8 91:6	85:8	relates
96:10	41:11,24	97:25	×0.7	16:20
eads	44:22	105:25	reg	25:16
89:24	47:25	106:3	113:3	31:11
91:10	50:17	117:16	regional	70:1
101:17	71:19,25	122:13	11:9,10	102:7
102:7	72:17		25:24	114:1
104:1	74:23,24	recorded	73:2,8	relationshi
110:2	92:10	8:16		р
	96:16,20	reduce	regionally	26:15
eady	104:16,19	51:24	39:10	28:9,20
60:24	104.10,19	84:2,3	regular	79:14
realized	received	04.2,3	23:20	
83:7,8	17:19	refer	25:18	relationshi
03.7,0	56:16	11:2	32:15	ps
eask	57:11	35:22	61:6 67:4	34:3,7
52:13	65:1 95:9	40:4,7	69:20	released
eason	receives	57:16	117:22,23	36:12
9:22	48:18	referenced	120:21	30:12
10:12	48:18			releases
21:5	receiving	90:6	regulations	37:18
	47:2 53:2	referral	113:6	relied
79:21	57:11	45:14,22	regulatory	
91:23	69:19	46:2,4	113:11	67:1 69:4
105:2	89:8 99:4		112:11	98:19,23
113:5	100:11,14	referrals	reimburses	rely
121:9	107:6	54:20	27:2	97:5
easons		referred	reintroduce	mal
10:15	recently	37:13	7:16	relying
62:23	116:9	91:25	7:10	17:4
121:15	recidivism	92:7	reiterated	20:11
	89:19	97:23	67:8	remainder
recall		120:20	relate	49:24
25:14	recognize			
26:3	9:4 49:7	referring	16:9	remember
27:17	56:2	11:22	17:24	10:5
59:4,7,23	recommendat	12:1	related	16:19
60:3	ion	68:14	12:18	24:8 58:2
00.5		_	25:9,22	68:3
67:13,23	45.20	refere	49.7,44	
	45:20	refers 90:17	106:21	75:21 76:1



DNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			index. remoteGandra		
84:15	16:3,4,5,	requirement	resumed	role	
85:19	12 25:19	s	118:10	14:3,9	
89:22		39:15		16:8,13	
118:8	represent	44:7,9	return	17:1,25	
	7:19	113:13	68:8	18:3 19:5	
remote	representat		105:9	23:14	
6:16	ive	requires	returns	27:20	
remove	7:6	113:24	89:9	59:15	
41:9		requiring		60:6,14	
	represented	84:5	review	64:7	
removed	19:14		22:11	69:22	
105:14	20:7	resources	46:25	81:6	
reorg	representin	27:4	47:20	83:5,6	
116:9	g	83:14	56:13	97:16	
	6:18	respect	84:10	97.10	
reorganizat		29:3	109:7	roles	
ion	reproduced	30:12	reviewed	15:3	
31:9	56:1		13:11	28:23	
repeat	request	respond	18:25	Douge	
48:11	19:4	17:4 33:6	21:10	<b>Rouge</b> 17:12	
60:2	21:22	35:20	36:16	17:12	
78:18	36:13	49:11	60:18	rules	
81:17	117:15	72:10,14	00:10	110:8	
01.17	122:9	responded	reviewing	111:2,19	
report	122:9	39:13	59:13	113:25	
14:11,12,	requests	39:13	85:3		
15,24,25	44:12	response	117:5	run	
15:1,2,	108:15	10:5 13:7	770	106:22	
15,16,18,		69:14,18	RFQ	107:19	
20 47:19	require 35:12	71:12	39:14	108:4,9	
56:18			56:22	rural	
81:15,18	49:15	responsibil	right-hand	108:20	
	53:5	ities	90:19		
reported	114:7	14:2	93:15		
82:7 87:7	required	29:18,19	94:8	S	
reporter	36:19	responsibil			
6:17 8:9,	42:18,25	ity	Rights	safety	
16,18	43:5	36:3	7:18	38:18,19	
34:15	44:4,10		risk	70:19	
55:12	48:1	responsive	51:6		
	56:17	79:18	52:9,12,	salary	
reporting	100:4	restate	15,22	27:1	
16:6 48:3	113:3	34:23	53:8	SAMHSA	
81:3		43:3		115:25	
reports	requirement	104:6	Robbins		
14:18,21	36:18	115:4	19:14,24	Sandra	
15:12,22,	43:10	116:22	Robert	7:1	
23,25	100:2	<b>TTO. Z</b> Z	6:15		
23,23			0.10		



March 09, 2023

Index: remote..Sandra

UNITED STATES VS STATE OF GEORGIA			IIIdex. Scellaliosselvices		
scenarios	108:18	71:10	114:17	sentence	
33:7	109:20,25	74:17,18,	115:13,	88:25	
schedules	116:17,25	20,22	21,22	90:6,14,	
	117:2,3,	90:17,18	116:5,13,	23,24	
20:12	4,8,9,11,	91:2,18	20 118:3	91:5,10	
scheduling	17	93:13,15,	121:2	92:13	
22:3,9	Cabaal and	17,18		98:15,20	
school	School-aged	94:8	scored	101:17	
11:15	50:16	102:11	39:16	104:8	
23:23	school-	104:2	scoring		
34:8	based		36:22	sentences	
	11:13	scope	aaroon	92:23	
35:17,18,	33:4,14	24:6	screen	102:9	
19 36:19,	40:2,16	25:12	55:19,23	104:9	
24,25	42:7,12	26:1,9	scroll	sequence	
38:8	43:12	28:1,17	12:15	119:6	
39:23	48:6,15	29:6 30:4	13:9		
40:22	49:8	31:3,19	84:20	serve	
41:1,4,	53:12	42:22	109:19	53:22	
14,22,24	54:7 64:8	44:14	aanollina	72:23	
42:14,19	73:3,14,	46:8 48:9	scrolling 93:12	93:5	
43:5,18,	24 74:7	50:6 51:9	93:12	105:16	
23 44:10,	75:17	52:17	section	served	
25 45:21	92:11,12	57:25	7:18	12:17	
46:1,3	93:6,23	70:15	90:16	47:1	
49:10,14	104:17	71:2,22	91:3	91:17,24	
50:21		74:1,10	104:1	103:9	
51:18	schools	75:19	sector	a o miro a	
61:14	18:10	76:10,21	49:18	serves	
62:20	31:17	77:10		26:19	
64:19	32:7,24	78:16	seeking	42:15	
65:8,16,	34:8	79:6,24	110:7,18	51:15	
18 71:17	36:11,20	82:11,12	111:18	57:12	
73:9	37:2,10	84:13	selected	115:14,18	
78:14	39:24	86:7	16:14	service	
89:10,18	40:9	87:16	36:23	12:1	
90:11,12	41:20	91:8 93:8	39:16,17	38:7,12	
91:13,14,		95:15	56:7	92:11	
20 93:18,		98:13	58:21,22	94:25	
20 94:4	48:7,16	99:10,21		97:6,13	
96:9	49:13	100:19	senior	services	
97:11,17,		102:3,21	118:12,	23:22	
18 100:25		103:6	16,19,24	24:24	
101:19,20		106:9	120:14		
103:8,10	62:18,19	107:1	sense	25:23	
105:3,5,	65:6,12	109:12	27:6	29:22	
10,15	70:3,21	112:5,6	105:21	31:16 32:7	
			•	J4.1	
İ				I	



March 09, 2023

Index: scenarios..services

TE MOKAY  TED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			Index: servingspeci		
33:4,8,21	101:8,10	severe	shows	64:19	
34:2,5,6	103:3,4,	50:24	55:23	G = 1	
35:6,16,	13,22	53:10,13,		Solutions	
24 36:10	104:3,12,	22,23	sic	6:19	
37:21	16,18,19	54:8,17	53:9	sort	
39:20	105:1,7	55:4,5,7	side	47:18	
40:5,8,	•		90:18,19	76:4	
16,17,21	108:13	shake	93:14,15	108:20	
41:12,13,		8:20	94:8	sorting	
25 42:7,	24 110:3,	share	sign	117:5	
13,14	10,15	22:4,7	122:10	117:5	
43:12	111:15	26:16,22	122:10	sorts	
45:4,14,	112:1,17	27:3	significanc	38:5 49:1	
16 46:15	113:14	60:13	е	sounds	
47:2	114:6	67:18	58:18	10:23	
48:6,15		78:8	similar	11:21	
51:19,20,	serving	ahassa d	121:15	39:7 69:2	
21 53:3,	73:20	shared	121.15	78:21	
4,5,12	session	20:7	Simms	92:9,22	
54:16,18	16:21,22	66:18	15:21	120:12	
55:3,8		67:7	single	120.12	
61:19,23	sessions	69:23	56:15	source	
62:17	112:24	70:9		56:6,19	
63:8,18	set	98:16	sit	58:23	
64:8	58:25	sharing	31:6	72:10	
65:12	86:15,25	22:3	sits	sources	
66:13	87:13	28:22	31:13	56:16	
68:19	112:2	69:21	-1-177	72:15	
70:1,2,	sets	104:7	skill		
10,12,21,	111:23	Shield	78:1	Southern	
25 71:20,		72:12	skill-	17:11	
25 72:3,	setting		building	space	
4,17	32:17	shift	38:2	37:9,10	
73:2,19	35:17	108:25	skills		
74:7	77:22	shifted	110:10	speak	
75:16	91:18	43:13	110.10	8:17	
78:12,22	94:6		slash	21:16	
79:2,15	103:11	shortage	16:24	70:17	
80:13	109:20,25	110:19	61:25	speaking	
89:8,20	settings	114:3	62:19	35:5	
92:5,11	45:1 96:9	show	smaller	37:24	
96:20	97:12	12:7	56:25	107:3	
98:1,4,6,	113:22	55:12		115:11	
		80:19	social	specialist	
11 99:5,	seven-		37:14	_	
11 99:5, 8,16,22		-1		1 5 . 7 7	
	minute 105:22	<b>showed</b> 66:17	39:4	15:23	



s vs STATE OF C	SEORGIA	Index: spe	March 09, 202 ecificallystuden
spending	91:22	83:11	102:16
83:9	92:1	104:23	
	94:9,19	107:24	student
_	96:10	113:19	32:11
21:23	98:9		35:23
spoke			38:4
25:9			51:20
			52:3,9,22
_			53:12
82:22			90:10
stabilize		State's	91:12
33:6	6:9,10	50:19	92:4,6
35:20	standards	stated	95:8
			97:19,22
		19.0 99.0	98:3
	standing	statement	101:18
	122:5,8	36:13	104:25
	gtarted	39:14	105:2
65:2		90:25	108:17
67:16		96:11	
69:23		100:10	student's
gtafferg		101:24	40:9,22
		102:6	students
07.5		112:15	23:23
stakeholder			29:4
s			30:13,16
14:6			31:15
61:10,12	108:6		32:3,8,22
atamn	starting		33:22
_	9:8 14:21	90:8	35:6,9,12
55:24	50:20	Stephanie	37:7,11,
standalone		_	12 41:11
11:18			42:15
61:24		_	45:4,12
62:18			49:24
63:8,12,		111:13	50:24
15,18		stopped	51:6,24
65:9,18			52:8,15
66:14,20	20:13		
	23:13		53:2,8,9,
	36:2 37:5		22 54:17
	38:14,24		55:4
	39:21,22,	106:18	61:13
	25 43:14	street	74:23
	65:3		91:12,16,
	67:15,23		24 93:5
78:13,23	69:9		94:24
		strike	95:3,4
	spending 83:9  spent 21:23  spoke 25:9  sponsors 82:22  stabilize 33:6 35:20 72:14  staff 14:4 44:24,25 65:2 67:16 69:23  staffers 67:5  stakeholder s 14:6 61:10,12  stamp 55:24  stamp 55:24  standalone 11:18 61:24 62:18 63:8,12, 15,18 65:9,18 66:14,20 67:1 68:20 70:11,13, 25 71:15, 19,24 73:15,18 74:20	83:9       92:1         spent       94:9,19         21:23       98:9         spoke       102:8         25:9       104:15         sponsors       105:3         82:22       106:7         stabilize       Standard         33:6       6:9,10         35:20       standards         72:14       113:14         staff       standing         14:4       122:5,8         44:24,25       started         65:2       19:11         67:16       27:20         37:17       37:17         staffers       50:2         67:5       66:12         stakeholder       90:10         91:12       101:19         108:6       starting         9:8 14:21       50:20         standalone       state         11:18       6:14 7:3,         62:18       21:111,         63:8,12,       13:21,22         15,18       6:14 7:3,         65:9,18       60:14,20         67:1       23:13         66:14,20       36:2 37:5         70:11,13,       39:21,22,      <	spending         91:22         83:11           83:9         92:1         104:23           spent         94:9,19         107:24           21:23         98:9         117:12,24           spoke         102:8         119:3           25:9         104:15         120:21           sponsors         105:3         121:20           82:22         106:7         122:8           stabilize         Standard         State's           33:6         6:9,10         50:19           35:20         standards         stated           72:14         113:14         49:6 99:6           staff         standing         statement           14:4         122:5,8         36:13           44:24,25         started         39:14           65:2         19:11         90:25           67:16         19:11         90:25           67:16         19:11         90:12           staffers         50:2         102:6           67:5         66:12         102:6           stakeholder         90:10         112:15           stamp         9:8 14:21         90:8           55:24         9:8 14:21



ANTE MCKAY NITED STATES	S vs STATE OF C	SEORGIA	Index: students	March 09, 20 s/familiesthoug
96:15	11:7 15:7		106:16	16:10
97:6,13	26:18	т	117:5	20:23
98:10	30:21,25		<b>.</b>	21:10
99:4,8	34:7	taking	<b>teams</b> 36:15	text
100:11	35:6,13	7:20 9:25		55:25
101:11	36:19	10:17	technical	55:25
103:14,21	38:2 44:4	10:17	64:4	Thandiwe
104:11,	53:12	talk	84:11	15:1,6
15,17	56:16	19:17,20,	tells	16:5
106:23	57:1	23 21:12,	9:18	therapeutio
109:9	64:6,10	19 88:10		11:7
tudents/	67:16	108:10	ten	99:4,7
amilies	69:16	talked	38:23	100:12
110:12	70:6	56:23	tenure	101:7
	77:25	64:15	33:17	108:24
ubject	107:8	86:23	43:16	
23:17,21	108:16,22	108:7	1	therapist
109:3	110:9		term	45:23
ubmit	supported	talking	40:1,4,7	52:2
39:14	56:7,19	9:3 76:6	42:7	77:17
48:22	85:12	89:1	94:13	97:23
	93:19	Tanner	120:6	98:4
ubmitted		39:2	terms	therapists
19:3 21:9	supporting		19:3 28:6	45:11
36:15	48:23	Tanya	89:3 91:2	
39:13	supports	6:17	96:8,9	therapy
ubstance	36:10	target	98:17	32:15
114:5	37:22	50:12,23	101:7	110:3,15
	49:5	taught	110:20	111:15
ummer		43:11	testified	112:16,24
108:13,	Switching	40.11	7:11	thing
16,19	23:4	Tayloe	40:15	9:2 21:6
109:1,5,9	sworn	7:1	53:1,2	64:5 76:4
uperintend	6:22 7:10	teacher	65:24	108:20
nt	8:8	45:17	92:18	things
36:20	a		101:21	19:1,2
44:5	<pre>symposium 83:1,20</pre>	teaches	115:17	21:9 38:5
upervised	83:1,20	78:1	117:18	49:2,21
59:16	system	team	117.10	108:23
60:4	29:20	26:16	testify	
111:4	32:22	31:6	108:2	thinking
	64:6,9	47:22	testifying	107:17
upervisor	82:23	59:12,21	8:10	thought
111:5,6,	84:11	60:3,19,		57:14
10,11		21 67:17	testimony	108:16
upport		74:13,14	12:18	
		, – –	13:7	



NITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			
Ties	83:25	76:10,21	transcript
39:2	titled	77:10	21:1,4,1
tightening			transferre
		· ·	90:11
			91:13
	14:19,20		101:19
	today		105:2
	6:17 7:21		
	8:3		transporta
	10:10,14,		ion
			48:25
			trauma-
		100:19	informed
		102:3,21	24:3
		103:6	
37:3,6,15		106:9	travel
49:4,9		107:1	84:3
55:24	•	109:12	traveling
56:12		112:7	83:11
		116:5	
58:18,25		118:3	treatment
61:6		tonias	107:7
64:19		_	trend
66:19	-		84:18
67:6,25			A 2 - 3
69:10,17	5 122:12		trial
81:7,16,	Tonv		7:17
19 82:3,	_		true
19 83:9,		totally	90:2
14 84:2,	_	9:22	truth
18 85:4	12:25	tough	
87:8	topic		8:8,12
88:11	_	00.12	truthfully
90:3		touched	10:14
117:3		34:25	turn
120:11,13		tracked	70:20
121:24			70:20
122:1,12			type
timos			42:18
		49:19	43:4
		65:7	80:12
		trained	86:3
			104:2
82:20			timos
title		training	types
13:22		82:22	37:21
	/ <del>'</del> ±;⊥,⊥∪		61:19
	tightening 76:4  time 6:8,9,10 8:22 10:22 12:23 22:17,18 26:6,14, 16,22 27:3,7 32:4,18 37:3,6,15 49:4,9 55:24 56:12 57:10 58:18,25 61:6 64:19 66:19 67:6,25 69:10,17 81:7,16, 19 82:3, 19 83:9, 14 84:2, 18 85:4 87:8 88:11 90:3 117:3 120:11,13 121:24 122:1,12  times 22:16,24 37:13 47:24,25 82:20  title	tightening       55:19         76:4       titles         time       14:19,20         6:8,9,10       today         8:22       6:17 7:21         10:22       8:3         10:21,18       10:10,14,17         26:6,14,18       17 13:7,18         16,22       17:5         27:3,7       19:8,15,18         37:3,6,15       19:8,15,18         49:4,9       12:2,3,20,24         20:2,7,9,11       21:2,3,20,24         22:5,8,13       85:9         58:18,25       108:7         66:12       20:2,7,9,11         58:18,25       108:7         61:6       62:2         64:19       today's         67:6,25       6:7 20:2,69:10,17         51:2:12       Tony         19 82:3,19       15:21         88:11       13:15         90:3       14:84:2,1         19 83:9,1       top         14 84:2,1       12:25         87:8       topic         88:11       13:15         90:3       24:6         117:3       26:1,9         12:24       28:1,17         12:26	39:2         titled         77:10           tightening         55:19         78:16           76:4         titles         82:13           time         14:19,20         84:13           6:8,9,10         today         86:7           8:22         6:17 7:21         87:16           10:22         8:3         91:8 93:8           12:23         10:10,14, 95:15         99:10           22:17,18         17 13:7, 98:13         98:13           26:6,14, 13 16:10         99:10         10:19           16,22         17:5         100:19           27:3,7         19:8,15, 103:6         102:3,21           37:3,6,15         20:2,7,9, 106:9         10:3           49:4,9         11 21:2, 107:1         106:9           49:4,9         11 21:2, 107:1         10:10           55:24         3,20,24         109:12           55:24         3,20,24         109:12           56:12         22:5,8,13         116:5           58:18,25         108:7         118:3           61:6         122:2         topics           67:6,25         6:7 20:2, 86:12         114:17           81:7,16         Tony         121:2



NITED STATES vs STATE OF GEORGIA			index	k: typicallywritt
80:12	63:12	86:10	120:24	words
90:17	71:6,18	120:5	video	80:9
91:2	72:2	updates	6:7,11	work
93:13	73:17,23	28:8	105:24	28:7,10
100:16	76:14	20.0	106:3	31:9 36:9
101:10	97:9	uploaded	122:9	39:17
ypically	101:22	61:1	122:9	52:5
16:20	102:12	uptake	View	64:19
83:1	104:22	43:20	80:1,13	68:8
117:2	114:22	43.20	virtual	76:16
117:2	115:7,12	usage	16:24	
		95:23	10:24	77:7,21
U	understood	120:5	virtually	79:22
	65:5	utilize	6:24 7:3	80:2
1 1	uninsured	70:19	9:5	101:1
ltimately	33:22	70.19		111:10,1
120:13	49:19			113:21
ınavailable	50:18	V	W	114:15,2
21:22				115:8,22
	United	vaguely	wanted	116:2,11
naware	6:13,24	89:22	111:1	14
77:2	7:19,20	09.22	waya	worked
ınclear	12:17	varies	<b>ways</b> 92:9 93:5	68:7
120:4	universal	37:5	92:9 93:5	111:12
underinsure	35:8	Vashti	website	
inderinsure i	51:23	39:2	55:19	worker
	64:16	85:18,19,	56:2,3	37:14
50:18	65:20	20	61:2	45:21
understand		20	117:13	workforce
8:13,16	University	vendors	weeds	15:5
9:10,19	17:11,17	16:23		110:19
11:2,6,	64:20	verbiage	28:5	114:2
10,14,18,	unmet	102:10	week	
22,25	51:25	102:10	37:5	working
22:15		versa	wellness	18:1,4
70:9	unsuccessfu	52:1	38:3	88:11
100:7	1	versus	30:3	111:5,9
102:19	58:20	6:13	Wiggins	113:20
122:4	unsupervise	57:17,18,	15:22	works
	d	19 89:6	word	46:1,2
ınderstandi	110:23	96:10	41:9	
ıg		30:TO		write
10:25	unsure	vice	45:8,25	98:19
13:6,12	54:22	51:25	62:23	writing
18:7 31:8	73:5	Vi alei c	95:23,24	59:13
38:13	77:12,15	Vickie	wording	22:13
58:10	79:8,19	68:11,12,	62:10	written
62:6	85:10	14,17		16:25



Index: wrong..Zoom 60:17 zoning 90:1 41:7 104:22 Zoom 105:18 20:14,18 wrong 69:3 Y year 47:11 59:9 82:21,25 83:2,16 84:7 108:18 116:17,23 117:2,3, 4,8,9,11, 17 years 27:19 59:9 83:3 116:18,25 118:6 York 17:17 Young 13:16,23 81:23 83:24 youth 108:21  $\mathbf{z}$ zebra 41:4 zoned 40:9,22 41:1,4,9, 20

